

Comments for this thread are now closed.



753 Comments

CNN

Login

Sort by Best

Share Favorite

**Hawks** · a day ago

How is getting love via your bank balance any less superficial than getting it based solely on your looks? He completely misses the point. Not saying that buying love doesn't work, it's the oldest profession after all, but still, completely misses the point. (:

192 · Share

**common sense** → Hawks · 8 hours ago

Not that I agree with Wade, but imagine how hard it must be for a person with wealth to find love. All the gold diggers lining up for him. I believe he is looking at his specific case and saying it happens for all people. Most of us poor people find love because we aren't strung down by the gold diggers, and we can find a mate more easily based on personality and the likes. The best case I can find for this is Tiger Woods(or kim kardashian), his wife got 250 million dollars for what? Did his wife ever swing a golf club and win a masters? What on earth did she do to get 250 million dollars? A great quote from someone yesterday on another CNN article. "Women don't date men, they date lifestyles". This is especially true with the wealthier.

67 · Share

**Cara Mold** → common sense · 7 hours ago

Perhaps that's true for the 9's and 10's, but certainly not the 6's, 7's and 8's. The issue here is that humans will always look to date above their sex rank. He's a 6, made into maybe a 7 (max 8) by his resources, but he's still expecting 9's and 10's to fall all over him and didn't understand why they chose other men. I can pretty much guarantee that he never even looked at another 6. It goes both ways. He didn't understand why the most beautiful women weren't looking at him, without ever questioning why he wasn't looking at the women actually in his own sex rank bracket.

90 · Share

**LotsaLogic4ya** → Cara Mold · 6 hours ago

What I've realized after many hours in bars and the dating scene is that girls dress nice/slutty for other girls. Similarly, girls date guys partly to BRAG to other girls about certain qualities. Overall, girls love the feeling of other girls looking at/admiring then.

I realized this when instead of saying "You look very beautiful/pretty" to a girl, the success rate is far higher when you compare her beauty to someone else who is obviously attractive or someone who she doesn't seem to like. Idk why it works, but makes my nights easier :)

29 · Share

**LilMsInformed** → LotsaLogic4ya · 6 hours ago

you need to look for the women wearing clothes for "themselves" -- if they are still comparing themselves to other women in bars, they're not mature enough for a relationship. If, in fact, that's what you're seeking.

17 · Share

**LotsaLogic4ya** → LilMsInformed · 5 hours ago

Women don't sit there and consciously do it, it's just a psychology trick. Why do women want all-out weddings, why does make-up market exist, etc etc.

Women love looking good, both sexes do being honest, but in order to look 'Beautiful' that means other people have to look less (good/beautiful are comparisons/judgements in themselves). So re-enforcing this idea is psychology trick a female-friend taught me that has paid off dividends.

8 · Share

**Momewraths Outgrabe** → LotsaLogic4ya · 4 hours ago

Figures..everything you learned you learned from a woman...yes, you are stupid.

2 · Share

**fasdfasdfd** → LilMsInformed · 5 hours ago

Oh, go take your "maturity card" and color in the lines with it. Funny how that whole "Girls mature faster than boys" suddenly reverses when it applies to "dating".

So people looking to get laid in your book are immature. You're dismissed lil msinformed.

4 · Share

**LilMsInformed** → fasdfasdfd · 5 hours ago

 until you start dating "women" -- you will continue to find precisely what you're seeking - immature girls. When I dress seductively, I have a purpose in mind - and it has nothing to do with other women - and when I "brag" about my husband to other women it's generally about how awesome he is with the kids - or what a great cook he is. (he's the primary breadwinner, I work part time because I want to)

16 ^ | v • Share ›

 **evidencematters** → LotsaLogic4ya • 5 hours ago

You are perceptive. Girls and women grow up judging each other so they can invent reasons to feel superior to each other. Unfortunately, you are feeding into the continuation of those judgments. However, It won't change until women decide to change. I am female and I have been researching betrayal and sabotage between women for decades.

14 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Momewraths Outgrabe** → evidencematters • 5 hours ago

Nothing like judging an entire gender, is there? Breaking news! Women and girls are not all the same.

18 ^ | v • Share ›

 **evidencematters** → Momewraths Outgrabe • 4 hours ago

Breaking news! You make decisions based on feelings.

I have been researching betrayal between women since 1988 after watching Oprah Winfrey betray a female guest on her show. I subsequently watched her continuously betray mothers by blaming them for every problem their children. On a show titled "How To Be A Parent Your Child Won't Hate Later" Oprah kept talking about mommies. Guest Dr. Penelope Leach, a child psychologist, said, "And daddies." Oprah responded, "I always forget about the daddies." Oprah always forgot about the daddies because she always blamed the mommies for everything that went wrong in their children's lives.

I can quote Leach and Winfrey exactly because I have the transcript in front of me. I wrote my thesis about television talk show hosts betraying women. Oprah Winfrey betrays women because all females learn to betray other females according to four cultural themes of betrayal: women as mothers, women and their appearance, women as deviants, and teenage girls as threats to society. Those themes were the most frequent subjects of discussion on all of the talk shows hosted by women. I watched every single talk show hosted by a woman for five years.

An abundance of evidence exists that females betray females. Evidence is available in many forms. Feminist leaders betray women, something I have been writing about for years at blog dot speaking from triumph dot com. Look under the women as elites category. That is, if you actually look at evidence.

see more

14 ^ | v • Share ›

 **LK** → evidencematters • 5 hours ago

Some women but not all. There's lots of mature, level headed women out there.

7 ^ | v • Share ›

 **evidencematters** → LK • 4 hours ago

And mature, level headed women betray other women every day. I assume you consider Oprah Winfrey a mature, level headed woman, but she betrayed other women on her talk show frequently. I betrayed other women and girls until I did the research I wrote about in my comment to Momewraths Outgrabe.

Your comment comes from your feelings because it is disturbing to think that mature, level headed women could betray each other. Unfortunately, truth is far more often uncomfortable and disturbing than it is comfortable and reassuring.

8 ^ | v • Share ›

 **LK** → evidencematters • 4 hours ago

My comment comes from my own observations. Maybe I don't see what you see. What do you mean by "betray". Give me an example.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

 **LK** → LotsaLogic4ya • 5 hours ago

I think you need to stay out of the bars and find people who do the same. You'll realize some other things too.

7 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Momewraths Outgrabe** → LK • 5 hours ago

Nah..he thinks he's Mr. Know-It-All and he knows NOTHING.

2 ^ | v • Share ›

**LK** → Momewraths Outgrabe • 4 hours ago

He knows some things that are true - but he's learned it in the bars. There's a whole other world of women who do not behave like this and these type of women are not the bar type.

11 ^ | v • Share ›

**Momewraths Outgrabe** → LotsaLogic4ya • 5 hours ago

I never dated a guy to impress my girlfriends. This must be something new for this generation.

2 ^ | v • Share ›

**LotsaLogic4ya** → Momewraths Outgrabe • 5 hours ago

Based on your amount of time on here that could be because you don't have friends lmao. I'm sure you've NEVER overheard your mom talking about your dad's successes to anyone, must be new to this generation *eyeroll*

5 ^ | v • Share ›

**Momewraths Outgrabe** → LotsaLogic4ya • 5 hours ago

You just love to come back with the insults, don't you? You know nothing about me and yet you've already made up your mind that you know everything about me. Why don't you just ignore my comments and not respond to me? Makes me wonder who the real person is that has no friends.

3 ^ | v • Share ›

**common sense** → Cara Mold • 7 hours ago

The fact that 80 year old (rich) guys are marrying 20 year old women disproves your point. You underestimate the power of money with women. The same is to be said with "social" power. The more popular you are the more likely women are going to like you. I don't doubt your point in the case of poor people, but we are talking about rich people, mainly guys who are rich.

19 ^ | v • Share ›

**Jonathan Taylor** → common sense • 5 hours ago

It depends. If he is using "sex rank bracket" to include: personality, wealth, prospects for future advancement / lifestyle, and looks - your example supports his point perfectly. A highly desirable woman (a "9") in her 20s might look at that man and says "He's a decent guy who is going to treat me right, and when he inevitably dies, I'm 25, 30 tops, still time to have kids, and I'm rich and can make an even more beneficial relationship on my own terms."

The notion that all people partner for love is as foolish as saying that no one does. Some people are pretty ruthlessly calculating, some people are amazingly naive, most people are somewhere in the middle.

15 ^ | v • Share ›

**ToSFollower** → Cara Mold • 5 hours ago

So it it better to sleep with one 10, or two 5, or three 3?

4 ^ | v • Share ›

**Just Me** → Cara Mold • 5 hours ago

What is a sex rank bracket?

4 ^ | v • Share ›

**LiIMsInformed** → Just Me • 5 hours ago

someone created it in the comments earlier. Essentially that a good looking woman will only look at a good looking man - and that a man with the personality of a slug better have a boatload of cash to attract a good looking woman (who has the soul of a slug!)

9 ^ | v • Share ›

**ToSFollower** → Just Me • 5 hours ago

It is like the NCAA March Madness - there is no perfect methodology, and if you find the perfect solution you would be a billionaire.

3 ^ | v • Share ›

**MacktheStrongArm** → common sense • 7 hours ago

I couldn't agree with your comment more, CS. As someone that works in the entertainment industry it is next to impossible to meet a woman that doesn't want to date the 'lifestyle'. What I (and so many of my peers are left with) are two options: nights upon nights of meaningless sex (there's a limit for everyone, I don't care who you are) or dating someone of similar stature in your field. Talk about a small pool to choose from.

Brandon Wade isn't far off, even if his word choice is (to me, at least). I don't think love is a concept created by poor people - but I do think that there's something to be said about staying grounded while in love to make rational decisions on your future instead of holding on to an emotion you had for a set amount of time and basing your whole life upon it.

14 ^ | v • Share ›

**Summer Shaw** → MacktheStrongArm • 6 hours ago

MacktheStrongArm are you serious? I don't know a single woman who would date a "lifestyle". And speaking for myself, I'm just not wired that way. I personally would never date someone who strode through life with arrogance, regardless of finances. Maybe successful men should regroup and get real about what's important.

17 ^ | v • Share ›

**LotsaLogic4ya** → Summer Shaw • 6 hours ago

Obviously you don't hang out with many attractive women then. Why can people like Hugh Hefner constantly date attractive women 60 years younger than him? I have never met a girl my age (I'm 23) that said they would date an 80 year-old.

Google search "guy pretends to own rich *****" and replace ***** with house, car, boat, etc. Watch in how many videos absolute bombshells start talking to the guy, then as soon as they find out it isn't is (and he will never say it is) they leave ASAP.

10 ^ | v • Share ›

**richard_hode** → LotsaLogic4ya • 5 hours ago

Obviously you are very inexperienced and run around like a little horndog - well, what can one expect at 23? I can't imagine anything more tiresome than being 80 years old and still chasing tail, even if one's equipment were still working. That seems like a preoccupation that has persisted way beyond its sell-by date. Actually, I think sex occupies an inordinate amount of our time and energy, and there is a reason that nature keeps it more or less confined to a fairly limited period in one's life. Once your sex life becomes, as Mayor Koch of NYC used to say, more mature, you will discover that it is as if you have finally escaped the tyranny of a harsh and cruel master who pesters you in your every waking moment, and sometimes even in your sleep. So get it while you can, because later, when you paradoxically could get anyone you want, you'll be focusing on other and more fascinating things. (Yes, they *do* exist, believe it or not.)

8 ^ | v • Share ›

**LotsaLogic4ya** → richard_hode • 5 hours ago

You act as if picking up girls a night or two or week consumes my whole life. This is 4-5 hours a week lol. Until I find someone that meets my wants/needs I'd rather hook up with a hot girl than an ugly one, I mean most guys would.

6 ^ | v • Share ›

**Momewraths Outgrabe** → LotsaLogic4ya • 4 hours ago

How can it be when you spend all your time here insulting people? You're 23...you're young and stupid and won't know how stupid you are until you double your age.

14 ^ | v • Share ›

**fasdfasdfd** → richard_hode • 5 hours ago

Yes, like sitting on the toilet and waiting for a few drips to come out 3 times an hour and hoping the oatmeal in the microwave doesn't burn because you don't know how to work the damned thing. been there, done that, and woops, smells like burnt oatmeal...or is that just me?

3 ^ | v • Share ›

**richard_hode** → fasdfasdfd • 5 hours ago

It must be just you. If you're an idiot when you're young it's likely you'll remain one when you're older.

4 ^ | v • Share ›

**Momewraths Outgrabe** → LotsaLogic4ya • 5 hours ago

23 huh? No wonder you're so stupid.

2 ^ | v • Share ›

**eeriesponsiple** → Momewraths Outgrabe • 4 hours ago

At least he has an excuse.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**fredfred** → Summer Shaw • 5 hours ago

You must not get around. I live in South Florida. It's all about status and money with many, many women down here. Whether you are a good guy or not doesn't really matter as long as they get to live in your nice house, drive a nice car, and shop, shop, shop.

7 ^ | v • Share ›



**LK** → fredfred · 4 hours ago

And they don't get to feel any of the pleasure these things provide.

^ | v · Share ›

**TheGrimJester** → MacktheStrongArm · 7 hours ago

Or find the person that is not totally superficial and will actually care about you for who you are. There are plenty of stars who have married ordinary people and are perfectly happy with it. You get what you surround yourself with. Stop hanging around the groupies and try going out into the real world. Not everyone (in fact very people) care if you are a star or not. It does show how staggering your ego is that you only think women are going to sleep with you just because you are in the life style or are of similar popularity. Assuming that being the lead in a high school play means you are extremely popular and have all sorts of groupies.

6 ^ | v · Share ›

**LotsaLogic4ya** → common sense · 6 hours ago

What you point out is an interesting stat in marriage; a consistent sexism. Women (in divorces) consistently receive a higher percentage of the money in the settlement than they contributed (FYM, 2013), including cases where no child was involved. Our system sets up gold diggers for success!

In my opinion, marriages should have pre-nups built into them. If person A contributes 40% of money/salary, they should get 40% of the assets. This would make cases less gruesome and timely, but then again lawyers probably want the extra hours of fighting to line their pockets so this probably won't happen.

EDIT: Good points made, that raising kids and housework has monetary value. This would be addressed by factoring in the cost of nanny per year. My only point being is in cases where both work, and one makes significantly more money than the other and are both at home similar amount of time, the party that makes significantly more SHOULD get significantly more money in the divorce.

11 ^ | v · Share ›

**bread58** → LotsaLogic4ya · 5 hours ago

The problem with your approach is that it isn't always about actual cash, but it's also about non-monetary contributions that have a monetary and non-monetary value. My wife, for example, is a stay-at-home mom; has been for 12 years. Hasn't earned a dime to support our household. But her decision to stay home--and it WAS her decision, albeit one I supported--has enabled a level of attention paid to our children that I would have had to pay a nanny to provide. Her cleaning the house means I don't have to, and I would have had to pay a maid to do. I would spend my weekends grocery shopping and dealing with school paperwork, except that she does it during the week, freeing me up. I'd need to pay a personal assistant for that. In short, my lifestyle over the last 12 years has been markedly better for her efforts, not to mention how much better our children's lives are, not rotting in daycare facilities, largely ignored by institutional caregivers. That's priceless.

39 ^ | v · Share ›

**fredfred** → bread58 · 5 hours ago

Ok...I can see that. But what you forget is that you pay for the place where she lives, provide her clothes, food, etc. Since she doesn't work, you pay all the bills like Cell phone, cable, electric. I'm sure you also pay for any vacations, trips, her car, etc.

So come up with a formula of how much a cleaner and maid should get paid and then minus how much it would cost for her to receive all of those benefits.

7 ^ | v · Share ›

**LiIMsInformed** → fredfred · 5 hours ago

if you keep score that much, why did you get married in the first place? Man alive. I pity your wife!

18 ^ | v · Share ›

**fredfred** → LiIMsInformed · 5 hours ago

It has nothing to do with scores. My wife has a career and we both take care of our kids. The problem is that the law is unfairly skewed towards women in family/divorce court. How can you honestly equate a man that went to college to get an advanced degree, took risks to open a company, is constantly under pressure to run a multi-million dollar business, has tons of stress keeping a business competitive, missing time with his family and friends and totally provides for his family TO a woman that stays home and takes care of kids. NOT EQUAL:

3 ^ | v · Share ›

**Momewraths Outgrabe** → fredfred · 5 hours ago

Yeah...raising kids, keeping a house clean, doing laundry, cooking and grocery shopping is not work.....PLEASE NOTE SARCASM...and what planet are you from?

7 ^ | v · Share ›

**fredfred** → Momewraths Outgrabe • 5 hours ago

oooohhh...laundry, cleaning a toilet, shopping (seriously?) such hard demanding work with so much pressure.. Get real. I would love to be able to stay home and do those things without the stress or pressure to provide for a family. Probably one of the reasons why women outlive men in general.

6 ^ | v • Share ›

**fasdfasdfd** → bread58 • 5 hours ago

you sound like a lionized loafer. I pity your wife.

7 ^ | v • Share ›

**Momewraths Outgrabe** → fasdfasdfd • 5 hours ago

Me too.

2 ^ | v • Share ›

**LiIMsInformed** → bread58 • 5 hours ago

amen brother. and THANK YOU!

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**Joe Smith** → LotsaLogic4ya • 5 hours ago

Ok, let's assume the law is whatever cash you have contributed to marriage, that is what you get in divorce.

My company keeps wanting me to move. If my wife is a realtor, her money is based on the contacts she has built up over years here.

She could not move with me under your system. Yes, she could make contacts again, but she simply cannot risk it. She has children to raise, in case of divorce. She needs to make a lot of money, not a little. She has a retirement to fund alone.

I can see arguments over who is more tired from work. Who should help with girl scouts or homework or wait for the washing machine repairman? Both the mom and dad need to be focused on work and rested and fit for work. The one who volunteers for unpaid activities is a chump in your scenario.

Unless there is loads of money, o spouse would ever help you with your aging parents. Neither spouse will care for an autistic child.

4 ^ | v • Share ›

**Gretchen** → common sense • 6 hours ago

Ever hear of community property? He must have made \$500 million while they were married. It's 50-50. He humiliated her while he let his "other" brain work for him. She deserved more.

3 ^ | v • Share ›

**fasdfasdfd** → common sense • 5 hours ago

She bent over and winked human perfume from her pinksphincter in his face.

2 ^ | v • Share ›

**shell** → common sense • 5 hours ago

Well it was 100 million I believe not 250 million. Aside from the public humiliation he caused her and the children, she gave up her career to be with him. She was a model you know as well as a nanny. She wasn't some socialite here and he pursued HER. Nobody forced the ring on her finger....he was the one who betrayed HER and the vows...not the other way around.

^ | v • Share ›

**Joshua_Abdool** → Hawks • a day ago

He wasn't saying it's less "superficial". As we are on that point however lets look at this idea of superficiality. Persons seem to think that attraction to something like intelligence is less superficial than attraction to physical appearance. I beg to differ. Intelligence is as inherent as good looks , and while you can work on sharpening your mind or making sure you have the best make-up and clothes for your appearance this won't change too much from your starting position.

In other words the misconception is that intelligence is something that an individual has worked on and is therefore admirable , truthfully in many cases persons put more work into their appearance. So I think the whole idea of a superficial attraction is an unnecessary means of injecting morality into something that a person has very little control over ie. who they are attracted to.

14 ^ | v • Share ›

**snarkjeg** → Joshua_Abdool • 7 hours ago

I think you are missing the point. "Superficial" and "inherited" are not synonymous. What makes physical beauty superficial is that it doesn't retain its value. Physical beauty is fleeting. While some people age gracefully, no one looks 20 forever.

Intelligence reaps all kinds of life long benefits: increased salary, ability to adapt to a changing world as you age, ability to seek and find activities that challenge you and make you happy; in essence, the ability to be in control of your life and make it what

you want. It doesn't matter that the base intelligence was inherited, it still has more lasting value than physical beauty and that is the opposite of superficial.

14 ^ | v • Share ›



Bill → Joshua_Abdool • 9 hours ago

How you use that intelligence is one of the benefits of being inherently intelligent. Lots of intelligent people who don't choose to be charismatic with it.

6 ^ | v • Share ›



Rogue-ul-Ghandy → Bill • 7 hours ago

women trade sex for love. love is more often than not expressed through rings and other material gifts. basically all the women in the world are indeed prostitutes...

10 ^ | v • Share ›



terry → Rogue-ul-Ghandy • 7 hours ago

Guessing all men are pimps?

7 ^ | v • Share ›



Justin → terry • 5 hours ago

or johns

4 ^ | v • Share ›



William Cross → Rogue-ul-Ghandy • 7 hours ago

Are you playing top this platitude? Ok, you don't pay prostitutes for sex, you pay them to leave. Now your go..

5 ^ | v • Share ›



Scott Goodson → William Cross • 6 hours ago

Lulz

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Tomi Roshi → Rogue-ul-Ghandy • 6 hours ago

It's been said before, women give sex to get love and men give love to get sex.

3 ^ | v • Share ›



KingofKings → Hawks • 6 hours ago

So IF a woman is with you because your handsome, that is wholesome and right? and if she is with you because your generous, that is vile and disgusting?

5 ^ | v • Share ›



John → KingofKings • 6 hours ago

"you're," not "your." Please!

6 ^ | v • Share ›



Bill → Hawks • 9 hours ago

I had the same thought.

^ | v • Share ›



Goldie • a day ago

"While attractive girls were being wooed away by handsome men my age, there I stood, the lonely geek. Little girls grow up believing they will find Mr. Right who is wealthy, successful and handsome." Why didn't he just date a girl who was less attractive?

88 ^ | v • Share ›



nomadHAR → Goldie • a day ago

it is because Wade (and others like him) displays all of the classic signs of antisocial personality disorder. it involves his pathologic egocentricity and incapacity for love. in short, he doesn't care for others and believes he deserves a beautiful sex slave because of his money.

196 ^ | v • Share ›



jason nunya → nomadHAR • 9 hours ago

BINGO!!! This guy is a classic case of APD. Most a-holes who think their worth as a human being is defined by how much money they make have the same, or related mental health issues.

61 ^ | v • Share ›



James Trebilcock → nomadHAR • 17 hours ago

**100% right**

32 ^ | v • Share ›

**ajbuffi** → nomadHAR • 8 hours ago

Exactly. Your analysis is right on point. We all know plenty of "shy, geeky, unattractive" boys who dated extensively in college. By college women are more mature and find men who are a good emotional and intellectual match - it's when you start saying "What is she doing with him?" There is much more going on here in terms of psychological problems.

23 ^ | v • Share ›

**Impotent Rage** → nomadHAR • 7 hours ago

So basically he didn't want to date ugly chicks. Got it.

14 ^ | v • Share ›

**BluesBerry** → nomadHAR • 8 hours ago

Wade: "If we are allowed to admit that, then think of the possibilities. Traditional relationships are based on possessiveness and selfishness. As I look at the future of traditional relationships, I see divorces, heartbreaks and broken families"

Wade writes about all the classic signs of the confusion that surrounds persons who marry for the wrong reasons - to possess someone, to be adored by someone., to be either the chivalrous knight or the long-sought-and-suddenly-found princess whose foot happens to fit the glass slipper. This is fairytale stuff, but essentially it is what our society teaches about loving relationships, it is the sap that we raise our children to believe, that invariably ends: "And they lived happily ever after."

If there is one point on which I agree with Wade, it is that modern relationships must be based on "open-mindedness, open communication, brutal honesty and transparent expectations" - all of which should be talked out and defined before living-in together or getting married.

If there is one point on which I disagree with Wade it is that "love does not exist".

Wade: "Love at first sight that sends shivers down your spine is a fairy tale, and it doesn't last forever. Such infatuation must be transformed into a selfless form of love, empty of possessive expectations, for any relationship to endure"

5 ^ | v • Share ›

**JohnnyMangoes** → nomadHAR • 9 hours ago

Count down to all of the men commenting here find out their wives cheated on them.

I'm willing to bet Wade's money on it.

6 ^ | v • Share ›

**jdwar** → nomadHAR • 7 hours ago

Mostly agree with you except the "sex slave" part. Slaves do not get paid. The word prostitute would be a better fit.

3 ^ | v • Share ›

**Convoy** → Goldie • a day ago

Because the less attractive girls also want Mr. Right who is successful and handsome as well.

67 ^ | v • Share ›

**Adelmo1** → Convoy • 8 hours ago

Please. For every guy who cries woe-is-me about being the nerdy geek who couldn't get the cheerleader, there are an equal number of female nerdy geeks who never got asked to prom.

62 ^ | v • Share ›

**common sense** → Adelmo1 • 8 hours ago

Take a look at almost any girls private messages on facebook or whatever social media platform she has and you will find tons of guys who are trying to hit on her. As for guys it is only a select few that this happens to. Women can find a guy faaaarrr more easier than a guy can find a girl.

15 ^ | v • Share ›

**Cara Mold** → common sense • 7 hours ago

If only that were true. Facebook is probably the worst example you can use - you don't find a quality relationship on facebook.

2 ^ | v • Share ›

**Convoy** → Cara Mold • 5 hours ago

It's not about quality. It's about what you choose because someone appeals to you. Just look at Tinder, or all of the dating sites where tons of women have disclaimers that they are not there for a one night stand. That goes for the average geeky ones too. Why is that? Because most of them went for the handsome Prince Charming fella. Not the equally average guy.

^ | v • Share ›



fasdfasdfd → common sense • 5 hours ago

I try only to look at girls private parts.

^ | v • Share ›



Robin → Adelmo1 • 8 hours ago

It's way past time for women to start asking men to the prom.

14 ^ | v • Share ›



mrelon → Robin • 8 hours ago

you are so correct...i would have loved a girl to ask me to the prom..but 40+yrs ago things were really different...go girls..

4 ^ | v • Share ›



Convoy → Adelmo1 • 6 hours ago

Please yourself. It's not my fault that you and the 46 other people who liked your comment don't like that broad generalization, but it's true. Especially in the day of social media, online dating, and Tinder.

^ | v • Share ›



Paul → Convoy • 21 hours ago

Hit the nail on the head. Harems still exist.

19 ^ | v • Share ›



Cara Mold → Convoy • 7 hours ago

It's true that 90% of women are in competition for 10% of the men... but that just means that we need more, better men. Increase that percentage of potential mates. It's part of the natural process of evolution for the female of the species to breed with the best possible male she can.

3 ^ | v • Share ›



Two Parties Fail → Cara Mold • 6 hours ago

Only 10% of men are good? What about women expecting too much?

7 ^ | v • Share ›



common sense → Cara Mold • 7 hours ago

It's not so much we need more men, it's the fact women want what they can't have. Even if we increased men to your standard there would still be a very high percentage of girls after a top percentage of guys. This is due to social status that girls so heavily crave. I'm a guy so I guess I'm biased here, but from what I can tell men in college usually have jobs and go to school and are doing very well for themselves while women are getting degrees in worthless degrees. There was a CNN article a few months back that suggested that women should go to college to find a man to support her and not for her to worry about getting a degree. Obviously the comments were shut off for that one....

3 ^ | v • Share ›



Cara Mold → common sense • 7 hours ago

That was a terrible article and I agree that more women should be going after degrees in the medical, technological and scientific fields. I have a degree in programming and I'm working on one in IT security, and I wouldn't have traded either experience for womens' studies despite the struggle in some parts. It's worth it.

However, this is a deeper issue. There was another article that you may have read that talked about how women cope with criticism. As children, we are brought up to sit still, be quiet, be "good" little girls. We are molded into a creature that gets criticized very little, while our male counterparts are encouraged to "run wild" so to speak, and are often criticized. As a result, they are better as adults at coping with failures. They just go and try again.

It's not so easy for women, who are always apologetic and meek. Many don't pursue STEM fields because they have trouble with the math, and instead of just trying harder they give up. We need to change the entire process of raising children from start to finish so that both sexes not only go into fields that actually matter for the betterment of humankind, but also so that we can find common ground and nurture these traits in the next generation.

So I guess I'm saying; you're right. But it's not as simple as "women just need to xyz because they are the problem".

8 ^ | v • Share ›



common sense → Cara Mold • 7 hours ago

If there were more women like you, the world would be a much better place. Btw I'm in programming also :O. Congrats on getting through college, it's tough.

3 ^ | v • Share ›

**Thomas** → common sense • 6 hours ago

Often called getting her "Mrs" degree. :)

3 ^ | v • Share ›

**Joe** → Cara Mold • 7 hours ago

I'm pretty sure its also part of the natural process for men to breed with the best possible mates he can as well.

2 ^ | v • Share ›

**Tammy** → Joe • 6 hours ago

Actually, no. The natural instinct for males is to breed with as many as possible to ensure that their genes are carried into the next generation.

4 ^ | v • Share ›

**McBain** → Joe • 6 hours ago

Wrong. In most species, including primates, the males attempt to mate was as many as possible regardless of quality in order to spread genes as much as possible before a new Alpha supplants him.

2 ^ | v • Share ›

**Convoy** → Cara Mold • 5 hours ago

There are plenty of good men out there. What there are a lot of are women who have no idea what they want.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**Ryan Butters** → Goldie • 8 hours ago

I would guess that a sense of entitlement is why he didn't date a girl who was less attractive. He obviously wasn't charming enough to get any dates on his own merits, even with his income, so he went for the lowest common denominator: bribing shallow women with money and gifts in exchange for fake companionship and sex. On top of that, he's managed to delude himself into believing his is the true relationship, and all us other schmucks who went about earning girlfriends and wives by far less creepy means are living the lie.

56 ^ | v • Share ›

**guest** → Ryan Butters • 7 hours ago

"...far less creepy means..." lol

7 ^ | v • Share ›

**William Parrish** → Ryan Butters • 8 hours ago

Men don't feel comfortable admitting they feel a little cheated these days in the dating world. As far as shallow goes how come most people end up with people of their own race? If we are all so blind to the outside and everybody posting here only sees what wonderful souls everyone else has shouldn't we all be dating interracial? A little off topic and a lot on topic huh? Stop calling him shallow people.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**common sense** → William Parrish • 8 hours ago

Not to totally dismiss your point, but a lot of the same race dating is due to culture. For example I live a more country lifestyle and enjoy the great outdoors. Not many black women would like that, nor any other women that I can find. However, there is a plethora of white women who absolutely love the country lifestyle and I've found a great one. I get your point, but it could also be considered race baiting. And also, my point applies good sir.

12 ^ | v • Share ›

**Cara Mold** → William Parrish • 7 hours ago

Where do you live that interracial relationships are so few? You must not live near any major city.

5 ^ | v • Share ›

**Xenopsych** → Goldie • 11 hours ago

Because the top 20% of guys get the top 80% of women. An unattractive uncharismatic guy with no money has no chance with anybody.

26 ^ | v • Share ›

**common sense** → Xenopsych • 8 hours ago

It's all romantic stuff in high school and college, but after that women don't date men for men, they date men for lifestyles. Seems as though all those romantic books they read went straight out the window as soon as they figured out money doesn't grow on trees.

10 ^ | v • Share ›

**Cara Mold** → common sense • 7 hours ago

You do realize that most women are out there earning livings now, right? The bigger problem with dating is that many men haven't settled into the new age and just can't accept that the game has changed. Being the breadwinner is no longer the sole trait a woman looks for in a man. I'm actually dating a man right now who makes far less money than I do and likely always will. I date him because he has all of the other traits that I believe will transfer down to the next generation - strength and size, compassion, good health, kind disposition, non-violent by nature but can defend his home if needed to.

It's going to take some time, but I believe in the next 20 or so years everyone will settle into the new age of dating and we won't see so many quality men being overlooked. The petty jealousy shows. We pick up on stuff like that in an instant.

9 ^ | v • Share ›



disqus_lyzGXZD8Uy → Cara Mold • 6 hours ago

Actually, there was just an article on CNN Money a week or two ago saying that there was a survey saying the #1 thing women looked for in men was a stable job. Guess old habits die hard.

4 ^ | v • Share ›



common sense → Cara Mold • 7 hours ago

The issue is about rich people, not us poor people my friend. In rich people land, there aren't women like you.

3 ^ | v • Share ›



BluesBerry → common sense • 7 hours ago

Part of the blame should rest on all those fairytales of youth. Did Cinderella not fall for Prince Charming? Did not all the females not try to squeeze their foot into the glass slipper?

We should hope that all those romantic books went straight out the window as young men and women begin to mature and think for themselves.

If women figure out that money does not grow on trees and therefore become attracted to "lifestyle", the gentleman should be very careful to consider the female's perception about loving relationships.

^ | v • Share ›



BluesBerry → Xenopsyché • 8 hours ago

An unattractive, uncharismatic guy - with no money - has no chance with anybody". With this statement I cannot agree.

If 80% of women are running after the top 20% of guys (which I think is an exaggeration), there is still that 20% of women who have their heads on straight and are seeking all the right things: companionship, mutual respect, a good husband and father, a decent provider, stability...and yes, love, the type of love that says in every look and every touch: "I choose to spend my earthly days with you because, forsaking all others, you chose me and forsaking all others, I chose you to be my life partner."

11 ^ | v • Share ›



common sense → BluesBerry • 8 hours ago

The problem with that is now you are competing with 80% of the guys for 20% of the women...

8 ^ | v • Share ›



BluesBerry → common sense • 7 hours ago

I began my comment with the statement that I didn't believe that "the top 20% of guys get the top 80% of women".

There are so many factors to these statistics like

1. what is the definition of "top"
2. what is the definition of "get".

I would suggest that you get these statistic out of your head, because without at least a definition of these two key words, we have no idea what Anon meant when he responded to Goldie.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



common sense → BluesBerry • 7 hours ago

I believe it to be somewhat accurate (20% guys get 80% of the women). Here's why. Women will NOT ask a guy out on a date period. They love the guys they can't have and so on. They fawn over the 20% of the guys. The problem is that 80% of guys are constantly asking them out, so why should she have to settle with a "less" (whatever she believes to be less, whether looks or money or whatever) of a guy? It's supply and demand. Girls have a ton of guys asking them out equals they get to be choosy over who they date. Guys on the other hand would love to just get 1 girl out on a date. Most guys are lucky to even have 1 girl to have a crush on them.

4 ^ | v • Share ›



earthshoes → common sense • 6 hours ago

Great big huge generalities that cannot be supported with facts. I suspect you're either very young, or very uninformed.

Dating in the real world is not at all like this. I've known lots of women who ignore men with money and/or looks because they assume he's either got someone in his life or that he won't be interested in them or because they don't believe he'll share their values. It is not cut and dried. It is not as simple as one kind of guy getting all the women. There are plenty of women who are assuming the same thing you are--that all the good men are taken. It's a falsehood.

The problem lies with people like yourself don't know how to communicate and don't know how to approach the opposite sex. You're either trying to hard and come off as frighteningly over-eager or you're not trying hard enough and you're coming off as arrogant. It's largely because you're afraid of rejection--because you've come to see the romantic relationship as the be-all end all. And while romance is wonderful and important, relationships don't always begin with romance. The best ones begin with friendships. Real friendships. After 25 years of a happy marriage to a man who began as my friend some 30 years ago, I'm in a position to confirm this. Romance and passion come after you've established a real foundation.

Get busy making your life attractive, never mind your physical appearance. Develop interests and habits that involved work you don't get paid for (charities, soup kitchens, animal shelters . . .). Learn to like kids and animals and let it show. Learn how to be friends with women. We always need need more male friends. Accept that sometimes you may be

[see more](#)

3 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



Patrick → earthshoes • 5 hours ago

Good advice

1 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



BluesBerry → common sense • 7 hours ago

Wow, common sense, where did you common sense go?

In the modern dating scene, women will ask a guy on a date, unless they are old-fashioned or very shy.

Women cannot possibly "love" guys they can't have. but they may be attracted to them, infatuated by them, and fawn all over them.

Women who note that a guy is dating a lot of women will ascertain that he is playing the field, perhaps not ready to settle down, and has a high probability to keep playing the field, even after making a commitment to the her. (In short, he has a higher propensity to cheat.) A worthy female will not feel that she is settling for less when she sticks to her own personal aspirations for a mate; in fact, psychologically she will feel that she has settled for "less" if she accepts the sporadic advances of a guy who is playing the field.

Supply and demand is for capitalism, not for dating. The ratio of men to women is normally about 50%, except for those countries in which the ratio is skewed by Governmental policies e.g. China,

FALSE:

1. girls "have a ton of guys asking them out" and
2. guys would love to just get 1 girl out on a date.

This may be true from your perspective, but dating patterns depend on unique female and male personalities. Some will date many; some will date few.

If you have even have 1 girl with a crush on you, be very careful because a "crush "is highly unlikely to be love. The relationship will indeed "crush" when the girl finds out that she cannot center her world on you...This should occur just

[see more](#)

2 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



earthshoes → Xenopsyche • 6 hours ago

Nonsense. If you're not attracting women, it's either because you don't want anyone in your life--or you're focusing on the wrong kind of woman.

1 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



snarkjeg → Goldie • 7 hours ago

Absolutely. For every awkward boy in high school, there was an awkward girl with a lot to offer. This guy is placing beauty on a pedestal that it just doesn't deserve.

10 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



Peeping Tom → Goldie • a day ago

Do you seriously think someone will stay with a person they are not attracted to?

9 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



Parhelion → Peeping Tom • a day ago

The problem is not finding other things than -physical appearance- to be attracted to.

17 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



**BluesBerry** → Peeping Tom · 7 hours ago

Yes.

There are many things that can blight attraction, and these are not all related to looks e.g. pomposity, immaturity, irresponsibility...

There are many things that can lead to attraction, and these are not all related to looks e.g. Kindness, moral integrity, humor...

8 ^ | v · Share ›

**Thomas** → Peeping Tom · 5 hours ago

At least until the money runs out.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**common sense** → Goldie · 8 hours ago

I believe Disney should take part of the blame for the current fiasco we are dealing with. Disney taught women that they don't have to do anything to find a prince charming and they should expect a prince charming to do everything they want with no regard for themselves. Girls can be as carefree and do whatever they want, but still expect the guy to take care of them. Personal belief here, women(especially 18-30 year old women) should grow up and learn that if you want mr right, you need to start with improving yourself first.

5 ^ | v · Share ›

**Tomi Roshi** → common sense · 7 hours ago

Agree and what Disney failed to tell young girls is a Prince will always be a Prince but Cinderella will eventually become like her mother.

4 ^ | v · Share ›

**Tomi Roshi** → Goldie · 9 hours ago

Beauty is in the eyes of the beholder. Everyone has a particular form they are attracted too and it gets worse with age. In general both men and women over a certain age decline in appearance and physical stamina and money becomes the equalizer. Men acquire wealth to attract hotter younger women like trophies on a mantel. Women are attracted to the security a wealthier man can provide. It's the nature of the game.

4 ^ | v · Share ›

**BluesBerry** → Tomi Roshi · 7 hours ago

This suggests to me, Tomi Roshi, a discomfort with growing old gracefully, which is a huge problem in western society where advertisements promise to "take years off your natural appearance". The problem with these products is that they promote the falsity that older people are no longer desirable.

In fact, older people - who are not too busy running around trying various products, including face lifts and plastic surgery to look younger - have a genuine appeal in their self-acceptance that radiates to those around them. It shows itself in their calmness, understanding, life-wisdom, appreciation for a life well-lived and a loving grace.

7 ^ | v · Share ›

**Billythrowtheballatmyhead** → Goldie · 9 hours ago

Don't you mean "girl with lower standards?"

2 ^ | v · Share ›

**Rollover.net** → Goldie · 8 hours ago

Love is a myth created to keep poor people from jumping out of windows. That's why the term 'Mr. Right' is so vague.

2 ^ | v · Share ›

**kittykrazzee** → Goldie · 6 hours ago

What? And lower himself to her level? Not a chance.

^ | v · Share ›

**Ellen Lincourt** · a day ago

Umm, maybe it is because he's so broken that he can't find love. So, instead of fixing himself, he said there is no such thing as love. Now, he has another justification. Because if love exists, what he is peddling would mean that he would have to look at himself and his peers/clients. What he would find is that he and hey are a functioning sociopaths.

54 ^ | v · Share ›

**Brett Sharer** → Ellen Lincourt · 20 hours ago

What about all the women who use his various sites?

7 ^ | v · Share ›

**Ellen Lincourt** → Brett Sharer · 17 hours ago

Broken' men are not the only people who get broken.

16 ^ | v • Share ›



Boris Balkan → Ellen Lincourt • 5 hours ago

You've claimed the author is a sociopath, that the people who use his service are 'broken people'. But you base your idea on marriage as a (from your profile) Christian, from a book that is loaded with passages that are the very definitions of misogyny, where women are nothing more than property and are little more than child bearers to a man with multiple wives. Have you even read your own bible in its entirety? Have you even looked at the history of women in Christianity, even in modern times globally? To say I find your opinion hilariously deluded would be an understatement.

You have no business standing on your high horse pretending to be morally superior to others.

I think it's possible you might be the one who is 'broken'.

^ | v • Share ›



William Parrish → Ellen Lincourt • 8 hours ago

This post is what's wrong about a lot of this discussion. I see a lot of people who think this way without ever questioning themselves because society approves of how they think. And a person is not a computer that can be loaded with a new "love program"... Just 3 easy steps to fix yourself by Microsoft!..

If a person is deeply scarred by life and society they may never be normal again. Instead of calling this guy a sociopath maybe we might listen a little more, hmm?

5 ^ | v • Share ›



Boris Balkan → Ellen Lincourt • 6 hours ago

Wade: "I'm a happily married man. Although I did not meet my wife on the site, I credit its creation for bringing us together. "

Did you and the 45 other people who thumbed you up even read the article? He's married! Ah, but after looking at your profile and some of your other comments, I see exactly why you are so quick to label and judge others! *wink*

This guy is clearly not a sociopath. But I wonder if some of those who are so quick to label him as so don't have some mental illnesses of their own.

I think what's really going on here is that Wade is pointing out the real truth about the hypocrisy of many of our moral issues concerning love and sex in society, and as usual, instead of facing the ugly reality of these truths, we'd rather put our special rose-tinted glasses on in order to pretend we are ethically outstanding. Of course, we do this by pointing fingers at others and throwing out labels in a shallow attempt to make ourselves out to be ethically superior (cue: Ellen Lincourt, others...) by playing the narcissist/sociopath card.

Just more proof that humans are seriously deluded about themselves and would rather lie and live in their little fantasy lands (more often than not fueled by religious and spiritually tainted ideologies) than ever take a good long hard look at the ugliness of human nature and our species in general.

3 ^ | v • Share ›



Patrick → Boris Balkan • 5 hours ago

Spot on

^ | v • Share ›



nomadHAR • a day ago

tl;dr: Brandon Wade - i am an unapologetic sociopath that cares nothing for humans and loves money much more, so buying sex in a superficial relationship is totally OK if it has a veneer of false respectability. also, because i am an insecure, egotistical bitter husk, i will insult all of the people that actually care about others.

31 ^ | v • Share ›



William Parrish → nomadHAR • 8 hours ago

Jeez man! If what you say about him is true then why make fun of someone who is "clearly" mentally ill? It's easy to make fun of people who don't have the same skill set as you huh? People who believe in love never understand the other side of the story. And usually people who don't believe in love had much tougher lives. You need to learn a little from their perspective, not make fun of it

5 ^ | v • Share ›



Chris Necuze • a day ago

"As I look at the future of traditional relationships, I see divorces, heartbreaks and broken families."

Do you also see the rest of humanity that are/were mostly in traditional relationship and turned out alright?

30 ^ | v • Share ›



Travis → Chris Necuze • a day ago

A divorce rate over fifty percent, and the majority of children being raised in single parent households is "turning out alright"?

32 ^ | v • Share ›

**Chris Necuze** → Travis • a day ago

Yes. And somehow I don't think entering in a for-profit "relationship" with the highest bidder is going to solve any of society's ills.

19 ^ | v • Share ›

**Travis** → Chris Necuze • a day ago

I don't disagree with that. But I do disagree with your seeming assertion that everything is just hunky dory for the majority of people out there. Divorce is out of control, and the marriage rate is dropping like a stone. I don't blame people for dropping out of that game altogether, and seeking out alternative ways to look at love and relationships. (Even though I happen to think this particular way is pretty messed up...). We've made a mess of things. And the first step to fixing that mess is to acknowledge that it exists.

21 ^ | v • Share ›

**Chris Necuze** → Travis • 15 hours ago

I tend to think that the marriage rate being at the level it is today has as much to do with economics (marriage is expensive, and ain't nobody got cash for that) as it does with cultural trends. But I think it's just that, a trend. Millennials may be waiting longer to get married, but the vast majority will still eventually get married. So now a thousand-year-old institution is flawed because fewer people are doing it? It is flawed in the sense that it should be more equal, but that's another argument. As far as the divorce rate goes, I'm thinking that if divorce was as accepted as it is today throughout the course of history, the divorce rate would probably resemble something like today's. I find it hard to believe that humanity has changed that much in 25 years. Let's just call this what it is: a formalized escort service.

12 ^ | v • Share ›

**ur2tents** → Travis • 7 hours ago

That percentage is skewed by people who marry and divorce several times. I'm 50 years old and know many more people who have been married 25+ years (or never married) than people who are divorced. Case in point; my brother's ex is now on her 5th marriage.

3 ^ | v • Share ›

**Boris Balkan** → Chris Necuze • 5 hours ago

As pointed out, the divorce rate is over fifty percent, but not only that, how many of us know people who feel they are stuck in marriages, who are miserable? And not just that, a conservative estimate of infidelity is around 30-60%. Even further, more and more see marriage for what it is (not much more than a legal agreement in 2014) and are not getting married at all. Marriage isn't what's cracked up to be (especially the romantic delusions that currently encompass the last 100 years or so of marriage in America and Europe, at least), and never was.

Do you really want the truth about marriage?

Than I suggest you look up the history of the marriage, which has it's roots in religious ideologies, where women were property, to be traded and married off in order to socially enrich the owning man, and men were expect to have many wives. You are talking about a tradition that was started so that women would become property and were little more than child-bearing slaves.

Now women have been programmed into believing in modern times that marriage is something that will make them happy. No, really, they need a perfect man or they will be miserable for the rest of their lives. That someday they will find 'Mr. Right', and as pointed out, Mr. Right usually has to have money or be socially acceptable, or he's considered Mr. Wrong. Every girl in the USA is told that one day they may have their wonderful day, the wedding day, there they can be shining little princesses and be pampered and loved like they deserve.

But Mr Wade is Satan on earth and a narcissistic sociopath for pointing out the wonderfully shallow, superficial (yet completely natural)

[see more](#)

2 ^ | v • Share ›

**Chris Necuze** → Boris Balkan • 5 hours ago

The good old human nature argument. Let's follow our human nature to a T and see where that gets us. Our human nature tells us to do a lot of wrong things. We're not talking about how marriage used to be, we're talking about how it is. It is a consensual arrangement agreed upon by both parties. Don't like marriage? Don't get married. Nobody is forcing you to. How's that for simple. And I wouldn't worry too much about lonely rich dudes, they've been getting the young gold diggers for years. It's just that before they would have to do the leg work... you know, buy a shiny new car, drive it around, flaunt their cash. This website just eliminates all those steps.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**JHBROD** • 9 hours ago

This guy sounds like that kid who shot up that sorority house a few months ago. I'd watch out for this guy.

[Share ›](#)

19 ^ | v •

**Lstinmiddle** → JHBROD • 7 hours ago

This guy's getting laid though, so he doesn't have any reason to go around shooting anyone.

3 ^ | v • Share ›

**Thomas** → Lstinmiddle • 5 hours ago

Well he is married, that does not necessarily mean he is getting laid. :)

3 ^ | v • Share ›

**larkwoodgirl** • 9 hours ago

Brandon Wade is not even this guys real name. Is he so insecure that he cannot put his real name on his business? Does he make himself superficially cooler in his own mind by doing this? I don't think so. The truth is he had trouble dating because he had not developed any social skills and never made an attempt to do so. Even unattractive women don't want to date a guy who cannot carry on a decent conversation about anything other than himself. He blames his mom, he blames the women and everyone else, and never bothered to do the self actualizing that needs to be done to fix his problem. Cognitive dissonance must be a real bummer for the brilliant. Many wealthy people have found love and enriched their lives through it. They didn't fix their problems by throwing money at the, they built relationships built on mutual interests, trust and willingness to put someone else first. To make such a broad based generalization about everyone is really self-serving.

16 ^ | v • Share ›

**JohnnyMangoes** → larkwoodgirl • 9 hours ago

No, not being able to grow into a 6'2" + white male model is the problem.

Admit it, women aren't attracted to 80% of men. I wish you girls would just admit it LOLLLL

4 ^ | v • Share ›

**kgarath** → JohnnyMangoes • 8 hours ago

Actually if most men stop being d-bags and acting like 13 year olds they might find more women like them. Its amazing what kind of women you can get by just talking to them.

6 ^ | v • Share ›

**larkwoodgirl** → JohnnyMangoes • 9 hours ago

Growing into a 6'2" + white male with arrested developmental issues that he is too blind to see is his problem.

5 ^ | v • Share ›

**JohnnyMangoes** → larkwoodgirl • 9 hours ago

Even if he developed fine, I have a suspicion that you wouldn't have dated him anyways, lol.

What's your height preference? Be honest.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**Chris Necuze** • a day ago

Hi, buying sex and buying love are two different things, everyone. I know it's hard to grasp in our shallow, desolate society, but it's true.

14 ^ | v • Share ›

**Eric_D_Read** → Chris Necuze • a day ago

Sex can be bought, but only an illusion of love can.

12 ^ | v • Share ›

**Chris Necuze** → Eric_D_Read • 15 hours ago

No argument there.

2 ^ | v • Share ›

**Brett Sharer** • 20 hours ago

After reading the headline of this article, I was ready to spew hatred toward Brandon Wade as much as the rest of the people commenting. But if you *actually read the article*, it's clear that he is NOT a sociopath or a misogynist. Experiences that he has compounded throughout his entire life led him to a certain conclusion, and that conclusion led him to starting these websites.

And if he's so wrong, why are so many people using them? No, it's not traditional love, yes, it seems superficial, but I think his overall point is that for some people, they don't believe in traditional love, or they haven't been able to find it either - if they had, would they do this? Probably not.

For some people, like those who use these sites, they're not looking for love, they're looking for a mutually beneficial relationship with another person. Consider this: he said 50% of the men using his site are married. They cheat. They're clearly not actually in love with their wives.

And relationships do fade over time. They take hard work to maintain - and people just have this idea that if they "love" someone, truly, you're in love forever, no matter what, period. It's not that simple, folks. Love isn't necessarily eternal and perfect for everyone. Why do you think the divorce rate is so high? 50%, right? That statistic alone provides a lot of weight to what he's saying.

The point is, how he came about starting this business and his reasoning behind it is sound. Even if you disagree, you must realize that the facts and figures about relationships and marriage definitely aren't on your side. He's just offering an alternative.

13 ^ | v • Share ›



otterhead → Brett Sharer • 9 hours ago

I don't know why any rational human would use a dating website founded by someone who believes that the concept of love is a falsehood.

9 ^ | v • Share ›



Jay Schuls → otterhead • 8 hours ago

because they are looking for an arrangement, not love. Lets face it the men using these site want a side chick or a little "thang" on the side something to tide them over to they can find their real life partner and the woman use the site because they are gold digging garden tools looking for a payday I'm not saying that they are wrong or right they are just using the internet to increase their chances of filling a nitch in their life

6 ^ | v • Share ›



otterhead → Jay Schuls • 8 hours ago

This is very true. I shouldn't have said "rational human". I guess my point was that if someone's looking for a deep, long-lasting, richly emotional relationship, a side chick site like this dude runs isn't where they should go... and nobody should take advice on love from him.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Phil → Jay Schuls • 8 hours ago

You are right they are looking to fill that niche and empty spot inside of themselves. I personally have found that many married women are attracted to me on account of their spouses losing the passion and zeal. They are looking for a distraction to spice up their lives.. I guess I fit the bill cause anyone who knows me realizes my life isnt boring to say the least. There is no right nor wrong, just thats the way it is.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Jim → Brett Sharer • 8 hours ago

They are using the websites because they want to have hot sex outside of a dead marriage, or because they can't get girls without flopping out wads of cash. I believe you've just been deadpanned.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



memento_mori • 16 hours ago

" Love is a concept invented by poor people. Love at first sight that sends shivers down your spine is a fairy tale, and it doesn't last forever. Such infatuation must be transformed into a selfless form of love, empty of possessive expectations, for any relationship to endure."

He is absolutely right. The love relationship as we know it comes from the romantic movement of the 18th century that glorified everything emotional and irrational. The same romantic movement gave the world Hitler and Marx. Before that all marriages were arranged in 99% of the cases.

Why do we "fall in love" with someone? Because we are attracted to.

Why are we attracted to someone? Because they are beautiful/handsome, smart, etc etc.

But those are physical not eternal attributes we are attracted to, with time the beauty fades, the young becomes old and the smart might become dumb hence the high rate of divorce and delusion.

What we call love is a genuine sexual urge to copulate masked under the world "love". The real love is selfless and timeless which has nothing to do with satisfying our primary animal urges.

18 ^ | v • Share ›



otterhead → memento_mori • 9 hours ago

Absolutely wrong.

Love exists. It has nothing to do with sex.

I feel deeply sorry for people who don't understand the concept.

31 ^ | v • Share ›



Guest → otterhead • 8 hours ago

Your comment would suggest that you actually agree with memento_mori. Maybe re-read her/his comment with an open mind (esp. the line: "real love is selfless ... which has nothing to do with satisfying our primary animal urges".)

4 ^ | v • Share ›



otterhead → Guest • 8 hours ago

Nope. He also said "What we call love is a genuine sexual urge to copulate". He's wrong. I'm sorry that you don't understand.

7 ^ | v • Share ›



Guest → otterhead • 8 hours ago

It seems to me that he or she is implying that people confuse love with sexual desire and then goes on to say that love has nothing to do with sex, just as you stated in your reply. Do you understand now?

3 ^ | v • Share ›



otterhead → Guest • 8 hours ago

I understand what you're interpreting him to mean, yes. Sadly I think you're giving him far too much credit. I agree with your thoughts and I wish they were articulated in the original response.

4 ^ | v • Share ›



Guest → otterhead • 8 hours ago

The original comment is clumsily worded. There are a few typos ("world" should be word). I think the take-away is that we often limit our conceptions and discussions about love to romantic love. There's nothing wrong with romance, but love is something greater. Anyway, all the best.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Guest → otterhead • 8 hours ago

Btw, I feel deeply sorry for people who are dogmatic.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



teremist • 9 hours ago

Dear Mr. Wade, Love is not just for poor people, it is however for those with the courage and strength to invest themselves emotionally, mentally and physically in someone else. It does have risks, You might get hurt, you might break up, you might be required to face challenges you could not predict. It can also have enormous rewards. A partner who is truly committed to you, that you can depend on. One who truly cares about your total well being and happiness is PRICELESS. One who works as hard as you do to ensure that whatever life brings, you work together to overcome it and reach your goals. It is the ultimate blessing in life. It is a level of peace and freedom to completely be yourself, that you sir, can not even imagine. I pity you and those like you.

11 ^ | v • Share ›



JohnnyMangoes → teremist • 9 hours ago

LOL. Assuming you're a woman, would you have dated him in college?

No? Then what's he supposed to do? Hope that one day he wakes up and looks like Bradley Cooper?

3 ^ | v • Share ›



teremist → JohnnyMangoes • 9 hours ago

Dear JohnnyMangoes, (My husband's name was Johnny.) I would not have wanted to date such a narcissistic, self entitled individual. I was looking for heart and strength, not looks. I did not need someone to support me, or buy me. I had my own career. The man I loved was not a movie star, or a millionaire.. He was a very good man, a good person, with a great heart that he gave freely. To me, he was a treasure among men. So the answer to your question, is I would not have cared about his looks.

9 ^ | v • Share ›



kgarath → JohnnyMangoes • 8 hours ago

Maybe learn some social skills and not be an egotistical and sociopathic tool. Its not his looks holding him back, its his personality. He only blames his looks because he can't see how messed up he is. No women will date him because he's a psycho not ugly.

8 ^ | v • Share ›



MountainFit • a day ago

I think he's a step away from that guy in California that went nuts on the sorority. Very sad. At least he focused his anger in a constructive way.

18 ^ | v • Share ›



nomadHAR → MountainFit • a day ago

nailed it. Wade fits the classic definition of a sociopath.

15 ^ | v • Share ›

**Paul** → nomadHAR · 21 hours ago

You clearly have some issues with men.

12 ^ | v · Share ›

**Trytobeobjective** · 5 hours ago

"I wasn't buying affection, but rather broadcasting my generosity to a potential audience of women that would normally be inaccessible to me."

So in other words, you were buying affection.

8 ^ | v · Share ›

**rquest85** · 6 hours ago

For the most part while reading this article I agreed with the author and in general, I feel that so long as couples entering into consenting relationships are open and clear about their expectations, there is no issue. But the author spends a lot of energy defending his "modern" take on relationships and how they fit into this world, only at the end to tear down traditional relationships as for "poor people", and "broken families".

You discredit yourself by seeking understanding from a group of people you refuse to understand. And your lack of understanding is glaring. Because anyone who does, would not attempt to dictate to others 'how' to love. That is not the nature of the beast.

8 ^ | v · Share ›

**octogator** → rquest85 · 6 hours ago

Yeah. I guess I agree with you. I don't mind that folks like this guy are out there, so long as he doesn't succeed at convincing folks that everybody else's motives are as shallow as his.

4 ^ | v · Share ›

**CrazyLiberals** → rquest85 · 6 hours ago

He's just angry and vindictive. The site is depressing, women who are 4 times divorced and trying to sell themselves, or just actual prozzies.

It wasn't just his looks that kept him from meeting women. Even socially awkward guys can get chicks. The secret to getting women is generally being able to have a normal conversation with them like you would with any other person without being weird. Then make them laugh. Then leave them alone. Make friends. Even the ugliest guy...ah

Guys like this are just angry losers. He built a website to make prostitution easier. That's it.

3 ^ | v · Share ›

**iwcforsure** → CrazyLiberals · 5 hours ago

Sounds like you hit the nail on the head.

2 ^ | v · Share ›

**iwcforsure** → rquest85 · 6 hours ago

Well said.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**kittykrazzee** → rquest85 · 5 hours ago

Does Wade really 'refuse to understand' poor people or broken families? Everybody understands that there is rampant dysfunction in families & a 50% divorce rate(according to the Census Bureau). Doesn't seem like Wade is telling others how to love--how is he limiting anybody?--but opening up another avenue for people who know what they want & need & are willing to negotiate straightforwardly & assertively for it, using whatever advantages--in this case, money or looks--to get it.

^ | v · Share ›

**scarp8** → rquest85 · 6 hours ago

I agree. The author is justifying his inability to find "traditional" love as a result of a broken system. However, the truth of lasting love has always been and always will be hard work and giving of yourself. It never has been easy and never will be. It's just easier for some to try to redefine it to fit what they wish it to be. Regardless of how a relationship starts, however, if they last it is because this lesson has been learned.

^ | v · Share ›

**Cmurray** · 7 hours ago

Let me see if I have this correct:

1. Mr. Wade wanted hot girls, but they didn't want him.
2. Hotness is the only value-add a woman can offer, thus it is the only quality worth pursuing.
3. Mr. Wade realized that, like any other commodity, attractiveness could be purchased for the right price.
4. He astutely realized that there must be other rich but otherwise undesirable men willing to trade wealth for "hot".

5. Hot women sometimes use their attractiveness like a commodity, just like Mr. Wade uses his bank account.
6. All of this adds up to a lucrative business model.

Most of that (except No. 2) is not particularly offensive. Rich dudes have been buying "hot" for thousands of years.

My issue is that Mr. Wade seems to want to extend his own broken views of relationships to the rest of society.

All successful relationships (friend, familial AND romantic) include respect. You show me a parent-child relationship in which respect is not a factor, and I will show you a broken relationship. Every time. Same goes with marriage. Same goes with friendship.

What Mr. Wade is peddling is NOT a relationship, but a business transaction. It is a contractual deal which goes something like "I will pay for your stuff for as long as I still find you beautiful and you put out". Under no working definition that I know of does that have anything to do with

[see more](#)

8 ^ | v • [Share](#)



octogator → Cmurray • 6 hours ago

Yes. I feel the author does have some psychological condition. His concept of love seems devoid of the actual emotions and feelings most people experience. I can't articulate my concept of love as well as the author of this piece, but I feel it compelling me in ways that are much different than the emotion he attempts to analyze and dissect.

2 ^ | v • [Share](#)



Cmurray → octogator • 6 hours ago

He said it himself. Dating ONLY equals sex for him. His view of humans is animalistic, unflattering and..well...incorrect. If what he said were true, babies would require only that their physical needs be met in order to flourish. We have reams of scientific evidence that indicates exactly the opposite. Without relational and emotional care babies can die...even if they get the proper sleep, food, water and shelter.

We are relational beings. That Mr. Wade wishes otherwise does not make it untrue.

2 ^ | v • [Share](#)



Billythrowtheballatmyhead • 9 hours ago

AHHHH HAHAAHAHAHA

The author looks like such a smug jerk for writing this....

The best part is he'll NEVER EVER find a woman that likes him for his personality or his intelligence. Karma broseph.....it owns you.

8 ^ | v • [Share](#)



elenitatgirl • 15 hours ago

ha ha ha ha ha "Men supporting women financially for companionship ONLY?" ha ha ha ha, what a total BS! whoever believe such thing s complete st..u..pid

8 ^ | v • [Share](#)



eeriesponsiple → elenitatgirl • 4 hours ago

Glad to hear you go all in, or something like that.

^ | v • [Share](#)



Atari Eee Tee • 18 hours ago

"If the women don't find you hansom, they should at least find you handy." --Red Green.

8 ^ | v • [Share](#)



Nobiwan → Atari Eee Tee • 15 hours ago

Also along these same lines: "When men aren't wanted, they learn to be needed." They can fix her car, kill her computer virus, pour a new driveway, or pay for a lifestyle she couldn't achieve on her own. This guy may be a sociopath but other men have learned through a statistically significant sample that is their life experience with women, that there will never be an attraction or a feeling of desire towards them, so they carve out a justification for their existence through a skillset. Hollow though it may be "If in serving one is served, and in being served one also serves, are these not folds of the same cloth?"

8 ^ | v • [Share](#)



Rob W • 5 hours ago

This guy looks at women and only sees a commodity. He probably looks at every human the same way.

7 ^ | v • [Share](#)



octogator → Rob W • 5 hours ago

Yeah he seems to analyze humans as though he is not influenced by the emotions and motivations of a human.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Guest → octogator • 5 hours ago

Or love them, impregnate them, lose track of yourself as an ego-identity and begin to LOVE your family as yourself. It's transcendent.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Rob W → Guest • 5 hours ago

I'd bet he's a certifiable psychopath.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



NightLightsNY • 6 hours ago

If love is a concept invented by the poor, then this guy actually proves that poor people have access to more happiness than the rich. Brutal honesty as he advocates in the article would involve his wife telling him she doesn't care at all for him, and she'll gladly jump on a more attractive man if he offers her more money, let's see how practical he finds his arrangements then.

7 ^ | v • Share ›



jason nunya • 9 hours ago

Just a heads up to the author... Love doesn't exist for you, because you're not worthy of being loved. Your self worth is tabulated in dollars and cents, because that's all you ever became as a human being.

And ps, I urge you to see a mental health professional. If left untreated, the symptoms of your personality disorder will only amplify with age.

7 ^ | v • Share ›



Jenna • 9 hours ago

Go to college, learn to be a feminist. Get out of college and join seeking arrangements to pay off your student loans. Makes so much sense.

7 ^ | v • Share ›



Peasant #36952168 • 4 hours ago

its a place where chicks obsessed with stuff find guys obsessed with p***y, it's the 'Uber' of f***ing... The millenials can keep it...

6 ^ | v • Share ›



Larry Uebbing • 4 hours ago

Use some of that money for therapy and get over your woman issues so you can have normal, healthy relationships? No! Create a website that encourages women with issues to sell themselves to men with issues under the guise of a relationship. That is so much more rewarding, isn't it? The people who use these sites can lie to themselves and their friends and family and call it whatever they want but it's not a 'relationship'. It's a business transaction. Many will say that normal relationships are no different, that you pay for companionship one way or another. I pity those who feel that way. There is nothing that compares to truly sharing yourself with another and experiencing real intimacy. If there is a way for people to corrupt something with money, people will find a way to do it.

6 ^ | v • Share ›



shell → Larry Uebbing • 4 hours ago

very well said.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



David Bradley Ford • 5 hours ago

What a sad, sad man...

6 ^ | v • Share ›



SadlySensible • 6 hours ago

It's sad that this man has no clue about love. With his money he could have gone to a therapist and worked on his shyness. He could have showed his personality to another human being and been accepted by someone for the person he is inside. Instead, he decided it was the fault of women not looking at him as acceptable. How many women did HE overlook thinking THEY were not acceptable? I bet there were some just as shy as he is that would have loved to have the chance for human contact. This is just pathetic.

6 ^ | v • Share ›



earthshoes • 6 hours ago

"Love is a concept invented by poor people."

Yeehaw. Thank God more of us aren't born rich.

The fact of the matter is, if Wade couldn't find someone in college or otherwise, it's because he didn't really want anybody in his life that badly. There would have been plenty of attractive women from the same social class who would have been looking for a likable, kind man. But my bet is that he didn't project likability or kindness (he sure doesn't now).

What's that saying? "We don't attract what we want. We attract what we are." I guess he got that. A loveless marriage. Yippee.

"This is the future of dating."

I hope not.

--Married to my closest friend for 25 years--The whole world should be as poor as we are.

6 ^ | v • Share ›



Damien MacBayne → earthshoes • 6 hours ago

Her future boyfriends are totally cool with his arrangement...while they cuckold him.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Derek • 8 hours ago

Love is over rated. The belief that you can meet a partner and spend 60 years together is dumb. The only reason it worked for past generations is because the woman was subservient and did what she was told. Today's relationships fail because men, and especially women are no longer prepared to be unhappy or be pushed around. Those who marry in their 20's rarely survive since they change so much over the following 20 years. If they do survive, one or both live in misery until all the kids have gone.

If I was very wealthy and single in my 40's, I would totally be dating younger hot women and replacing them when I wanted to. You can, outside of a long committed relationship reach a level of comfort and feelings that can fill the void you may feel by not being 'in love'. All those who claim this is shallow are obviously forgetting all those times they were madly in love and within a couple of years wanted to destroy that same person.

6 ^ | v • Share ›



Tomi Roshi → Derek • 8 hours ago

Love is an internal thing and only by loving one's self can one love another. Sadly many folks are self loathing and incapable of love.

4 ^ | v • Share ›



Derek → Tomi Roshi • 7 hours ago

Very true.. I also believe that many people mistake love for many other emotions they feel they need to feel complete. I dislike the phrase 'you complete me' .. If you need someone to feel completed, then you have problems.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Tomi Roshi → Derek • 7 hours ago

Agree, it's a silly thing to say like the soulmate notion. I've got one soulmate and it's me, everyone else are just mates.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



J S → Tomi Roshi • 5 hours ago

Sounds lonely and sad. I've found someone - and it's not about money or prestige. We love each other for the people we are, and continue to make each other better and stronger and more whole each and every day. I've found my soul mate. I hope you do too, one day.

^ | v • Share ›



shell → Derek • 6 hours ago

But yet you just posted to another commentor this is what you post:

Prostitution is and always has been more than just about a physical need.. it is why many prostitutes advertise 'girlfriend sex'... The men are not always looking for the physical.. they want, for a short time to get something they do not get at home at that time and that is the 'feeling' of being wanted and loved. If that is available occasionally, there would likely be less mistresses and maybe less divorces.

So, your belief is that if more men felt wanted or love at home they wouldn't look for mistresses and be less divorces? After all you just typed to me about MY comments? WHAT A HYPOCRITE YOU ARE!!! NOW I'm hostile...thanks a lot...going to work now thank F!

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → shell • 6 hours ago

You have NO IDEA what prostitution is. You're another fem maroon who is viciously certain her emotions are right ... while everybody else has it wrong.

^ | v • Share ›



shell → Prevalent • 6 hours ago

Oh and one more thing...I never once said I was RIGHT...he was the one who posted that I was WRONG...yes he uses that sentence...that I am wrong....now....YOU tell me how I am the one who viciously says I'm in the right because NO

WHERE will you see me tell ANYONE on here that I am right and they are wrong like he did to me....and certainly not while being a hypocrite about what I say while doing it. You and Derek must be related. Have a nice day!

1 ^ | v • Share ›



shell → Prevalent • 6 hours ago

At least I'm not a hypocrite that says one thing and tells another poster something entirely different. I've had this Derek follow me and post on all my comments about how I'm wrong on this view or that view. He DEFENDED this article to me...now read his other posting after he just posted an hr ago that love is overrated...PULEEZ....I don't viciously say my emotions are RIGHT but if a guy is going to continue to argue and POST on his defense to MY opinion on how HE is SO right and how I'm SO wrong....this is the SAME guy that rams down my throat how prostitution is NOT unethical...yet he admits he would never date one or marry one...and I asked him why...why wouldn't he? Hmmm....I can't stand people like this....who are quick to call on others for being the same DAM way they are. I call it how I see it....at least I'm not a hypocrite when I do....read the main post he did an hr ago...and compare it to the one he posted that I copied a few minutes ago and tell me that ain't back aszz backwards.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → shell • 6 hours ago

Yer a looney on this subject, honey. But don't worry, most women have highly subjective opinions on prostitution.

^ | v • Share ›



shell → Prevalent • 6 hours ago

Why don't you stop commenting on a subject you know NOTHING about what you are talking about....HE was the one who brought the issue of prostitutes NOT ME....so why don't you get off your high horse....so it wasn't me who even brought it up...it was him. And when he did I simply kicked it back to him and said would you date one or marry one...and LOL....he says no...but that's not the point...that's really what he told me....LMAO....give me a break....so I said why not...if they aren't unethical then whats the problem....of course....he knows why....come on now....

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → shell • 6 hours ago

I know a GREAT deal about prostitution. I have been in the role of a buyer, a product, and a seller.

You are some frackwit who knows NOTHING about any of it, but have a big fracking, stupid mouth.

^ | v • Share ›



shell → Prevalent • 5 hours ago

again, you just don't get it...I WASN'T THE ONE WHO BROUGHT THAT SUBJECT UP!!!! NOR MORON was I the one who said that a prostitute was UNETHICAL. Like I said if ANYONE needs to shut their big stupid mouth is YOU....as you JUMPED in the middle of a conversation without even knowing what was even commented on. I don't give a rats of how much knowledge you have of the trade....what exactly is your defense...the action or the \$\$\$ it has made you. You don't comment to me on the subject like this Derek did and ram a subject that I never even mentioned down my throat then play hypocrite when I toss the ball back into the court and ask would you marry one? date one? And if he then says no...well...there you go....why you get onto ME about how I don't know what I'm talking about in the field of sex trade I don't know. I think it's rather neryv of you actually.

What I do know miss/or mr or whoever the He77 you are I do know this much...that many young women and even children all over the world and incld the United States are snatched and sold into the sex trade industry. Being so knowledgable as YOU are I'm sure you can distinguish between a prostitute who is WILLING and one who was FORCED. So go take your crock of crax somewhere else.....

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Murray • a day ago

...and cynicism is a concept justified by the victim turn bully...

I think the site, at best, serves as a temporary fix - a wake up call - but not a long term way of life. It is better used as a short term management solution (like therapy - figure out the problem, ways to manage, and then move on otherwise it is just a empty cash drain). Using it long term reflects a fear of intimacy and a consent to dehumanization - and that is not a fun way to live or view others.

9 ^ | v • Share ›



kgarath → Murray • 8 hours ago

Its basically just a way for sad pathetic men to get a long term prostitute. Instead if learning how to socialize or actually talk to women they buy them instead. As you said this is hardly a solution, more like a bandaaid fix.

4 ^ | v • Share ›



Jim • 4 hours ago

Well, I guess if you run businesses that are thinly veiled prostitution, it helps to have people believe money is more important than love.

5 ^ | v • Share ›



Carl Bertanalowski • 4 hours ago

how does it feel to live a lie? To be so embittered as to claim something you fail at repeatedly is for "other people"

Talk about cursing the darkness instead of lighting a candle.

Your failures are everyone elses fault arent they

5 ^ | v • Share ›



octogator → Carl Bertanalowski • 4 hours ago

Yeah, his website ends up feeling like the work of a bitter super-villain.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



KAJJJH • 5 hours ago

Whatever floats your boat, dude. Hanging around gold diggers is not my idea of an equitable relationship.

5 ^ | v • Share ›



CrazyLiberals • 5 hours ago

The funniest part of this to me is that some guys have to PAY a service to find prostitutes.

It's easy enough to find a good looking girl with no website who will actually be interesting to talk to that will hang out with you for free. Lets be honest here it's not because he was ugly either.

He probably just creeps chicks out.

5 ^ | v • Share ›



iwcfor sure → CrazyLiberals • 5 hours ago

Lack of confidence and social skills probably did have a lot to do with his lack of success...

1 ^ | v • Share ›



zebraref • 6 hours ago

The authors point is the only way he could ever get any is to have money and the appearance of "success" as defined by society. That means he was looking for a ho. He apparently found one.

5 ^ | v • Share ›



CrazyLiberals → zebraref • 6 hours ago

chicks on seekingarrangments are prozzies.

^ | v • Share ›



tv22 • 7 hours ago

Sorry buddy, but if I didn't love my wife I wouldn't be her caregiver of 8 years and counting after her stroke. I also went to a top engineering school and can guess that this nerd has nothing going for him other than his bank account. Just because he's emotionally dead doesn't mean the rest of us are.

5 ^ | v • Share ›



octogator → tv22 • 7 hours ago

Yes

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Damien MacBayne • 7 hours ago

Let me translate this article into two sentences: I am a socially awkward borderline weirdo type, this has really warped my sense of reality. I want to buy my way into a "relationship" with an attractive woman who wants me only for my money and we both are such narcissists that we care nothing about inner substance.

5 ^ | v • Share ›



disqus_UPguSO3RR4 → Damien MacBayne • 7 hours ago

I would add just one more sentence: "Now visit my website and pay me money."

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Damien MacBayne • 7 hours ago

Me and my wife fell in love when we were poor and still attractive, then we started a business together and are slowly becoming wealthy and successful together, wit ha strong foundation of love and respect for each other that seems to grow with each year. You can't buy that, you can't arrange that, that is why it is pure magic and so elusive to people who see only dollar signs or "hot" looks. I swear she gets more beautiful the longer I am with her.

5 ^ | v • Share ›



MMM → Damien MacBayne • 7 hours ago

You are awesome. Please tell her that! :)

^ | v • Share ›



terry → Damien MacBayne • 7 hours ago

congrats, I'll resend it to her! KIDDING!

^ | v • Share ›



cephalo • 7 hours ago

Love doesn't exist for those who spare no thoughts for anything but their own desires. He's not beautiful himself, but he's only willing to consider a beautiful woman as a partner. Not only does Love not exist for narcissists, but neither does happiness.

5 ^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → cephalo • 7 hours ago

In the long term, that all is probably true, but I've had women tell me they love me after a short time, on a very superficial basis. "Love" is a glue that nature uses partly just to get dupes together so they will continue the species.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



cephalo → Prevalent • 6 hours ago

Men and Women are both capable of being narcissists. All love needs is a genuine commitment to put the other first. This commitment can possibly be a snap decision, but everyone needs to decide if their partners commitment is genuine, which takes time to figure out. It's in your interest to honor any such commitments and see where they lead.

^ | v • Share ›



Alexishere • 8 hours ago

I love how this guy acts so shocked that his alleged intelligence and six-figure salary didn't have women pounding down his door. He's not particularly handsome, but he isn't an ogre. Chances are he's just uninteresting with a lame sense of humor.

"I matriculated at MIT. I make a lot of money. However, [[pouts geekily]] I can't score hot chicks on dating sites or in real life. [[takes out his calculator and scribbles on graph paper]] Aha! Obviously it is the result of love not existing for people of my advanced thinkology."

PS: Believe it or not, Mr. Wade, we're not surprised you didn't kiss a woman until you were 21 years old.

5 ^ | v • Share ›



fasdfasdfd → Alexishere • 5 hours ago

I bet you score tons with that judgmental attitude of yours. Can I have your autograph?

^ | v • Share ›



Fr33d0mhawk • 4 hours ago

The claim that a sugar-daddy/baby arrangement is something modern is ridiculous. Its the oldest reason for women hooking up with men. Poor people invented love? Actually, in its modern form, the middle class invented modern love, where getting together came from mutual physical and emotional attraction, a time when most people could make enough money to have a modest yet secure future. Now, America is reverting to the olden times where a few powerful elitists who operated under a different set of rules and laws have most of the money and power and the middle class is crashing making the average woman fear for her future, for her health, for her very survival. Its not surprising that these kinds of websites have popped up because many women don't think its possible to build a secure future from the fruits of their own labors, so they seek out a sugar daddy for survival. As far as "generosity" the amount of money sugar daddy's give their sugar babies is the same relative amount as an average middle class person would spend on feeding a pet cricket.

I view these types of dating sites/wealthy escort services as a symptom that America's economy is broken, that since women can't interview for a job that pays enough to survive and thrive, they have to sell their bodies, but make no mistake, I am not against this type of service, it merely provides one more avenue for women to survive a slowly imploding economy and collapsing middle class, implosion courtesy of the very wealthy. I would hate for any woman to end up homeless and hungry, so if sleeping with a rich psychopath who doesn't believe in love will ensure her survival until she is replaced by a younger model, then what choice does she have? As crass as it sounds, the sugar daddy, sugar baby arrangement means a woman may be able to get enough money to get a degree, to avoid having to slave for minimum wage, avoiding having to wonder where next week's food will come from, avoid having to worry about not having a warm enough coat for winter. So, I get it Brandon, if some girl desperate to get enough money to afford college wants a piece of the American Dream, first Brandon needs a piece of her. It doesn't get more capitalist than that.

see more

8 ^ | v • Share ›



Vasheir • 6 hours ago

"Love is a concept invented by poor people."

Do you love your mother?

7 ^ | v • Share ›



Gizmo • 5 hours ago

Just another Dumb Smart guy that made money but couldn't make it as a human being.

4 ^ | v • Share ›



Cutler Hamilton • 5 hours ago

I'm happy this guy has found happiness hooking people up. But saying love is a concept invented by poor people just makes me feel sorry for the guy. Probably has never experienced love in any form. I know he treats his "customers" well but I would feel bad for his "selected date".

4 ^ | v • Share ›



Geoz32 • 6 hours ago

I think the authors argument falls apart, among other places, whenever he asks a question:

"Why must we define a lifestyle we don't understand as unsavory?" I think I understand it and it is still unsavory. "Why would anyone choose to date someone who is subtracting from, instead of adding to, their life?" A fair question, but a non sequitur.

Sex is the point of dating? I'm all in favor of sex when dating, but I think this view of dating and sex is as shallow as this philosophy.

Being unattractive is not just a physical trait.

4 ^ | v • Share ›



Tammy • 6 hours ago

Here's your sign. "Seeking Arrangements". No where does that imply love or anything that looks like it, no matter how you wish to define love. The reason people accuse this of being nothing more than a glorified escort service is because that's pretty much exactly what it is. If that's your thing, then fine. But stop trying to turn it into anything other than that. People on these sites are "seeking arrangements" that are somehow beneficial to both parties. If these wealthy men/women are seeking trophy partners, good for them. However, I think a large chunk of people are going to see this as shallow and self-serving. Love is different for everyone. Whatever floats your boat.

4 ^ | v • Share ›



LynneM517 → Tammy • 6 hours ago

This isn't for me either, but I can see the distinction he's making. Both parties are broadcasting how they expect the relationship to go and what they are looking for - but they are not exchanging X amount of dollars for X amount of time or a certain activity. They are looking to establish a relationship based on both parties getting what they want. It isn't a 1 to 1 quid pro quo.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



GimmeABreak → LynneM517 • 6 hours ago

Except for the upfront broadcasting part... you describe dating in general. (ie: "both parties getting what they want")

^ | v • Share ›



LynneM517 → GimmeABreak • 6 hours ago

but this process is true for any relationship, only "what they want" is a little different. Where other dating sites ask about hobbies, ideals, views on kids, etc. this one simple asks about monetary aspects of dating. Every dating site is upfront broadcasting.

^ | v • Share ›



GimmeABreak → LynneM517 • 6 hours ago

Agree which is why I have no problem with him or his website as others seems to do.

^ | v • Share ›



Lee-Anne Griffin • 7 hours ago

Simply because he has had trouble falling in love, he thinks we should change the whole dynamic? What a bunch of tripe.

4 ^ | v • Share ›



octogator → Lee-Anne Griffin • 7 hours ago

Yeah. I have found chasing love to be more rewarding than chasing wealth. I'd say this guy just doesn't feel very deeply.

^ | v • Share ›



graphicstyle7 • 8 hours ago

Wow. I have to say first off... I was a shy, fat girl in school. You would not of dated me then. You would not date me now. I'm a chunky, middle aged female. AND YET, I do have the loving husband, the very nice house, all the "trophy stuff" women kill themselves in the gym and through plastic surgery for. I got married to this wonderful man last year. We were pretty much broke when we married. He got very, very lucky on a job interview and now we are living the life.

I am not alone. I have a pretty big cousin, middle aged, she has two daughters. Her new husband of two years? A millionaire, and they are, from everything I can see, very much in love with each other. How, how can this happen? I mean, how can anyone possibly love a woman who does not have a perfect figure and is below the age of 30?

Well, I'll tell you, you somewhat myopic and relationship challenged person. You see, from your total isolation (Even I dated in high school and college. Your personal history was even worse than mine by quite a bit.) has made you pretty warped as to your perception of what goes in to an actual relationship. Your mom did not help either. She made it all about the bank account.

You share things with the other person. You take care of them when they are sick. You listen when they need a sympathetic ear. You stay true to yourself, no doormat here, and stay interesting, but you leave room for their interests and hobbies as well. You date and date, then find the RIGHT person, the person you can be compatible with.

[see more](#)

4 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



FactsRFun → [graphicstyle7](#) • 7 hours ago

Your last paragraph is...strange. Why do you think these people are "stuck" in any sort of relationship? They aren't there against their will, all parties come as consenting free adults. They can leave anytime and pursue whatever type of relationship they want, and maybe they will eventually settle on the fairy-tale lifestyle that you and your husband have.

Or maybe they know what they want, and will continue to partake in a "sugar" relationship because that is what they enjoy. Not everyone wants the wife/husband, 2 kids, and the white picket fence. Who are we to judge?

1 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



Derek → [graphicstyle7](#) • 8 hours ago

" If he cheats on me, my plan is to thank him for his time with me "

Yes.. I am sure you would thank him and leave peacefully.

1 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



graphicstyle7 → [Derek](#) • 8 hours ago

I have done that with two other relationships. If I met either of these guys tomorrow on the street, we'd be on friendly terms. Life is too short for grudges. There is a period where you're hurt, angry, etc., but like I said, stuff happens. There's no need to go crazy about it.

2 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



Derek → [graphicstyle7](#) • 8 hours ago

That is a great attitude and I commend you for it.. but that is not the norm unfortunately.

1 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



graphicstyle7 → [Derek](#) • 8 hours ago

I have with other relationships, so, yes, I know that's what I would do. Once something is broken, it does not help to break it further.

2 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



Trollbooth • 4 hours ago

Feminists should shave their armpits every now and then.

3 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



Momewraths Outgrabe → [Trollbooth](#) • 4 hours ago

And men should keep their mouths shut every now and then.

1 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



BobbyPFalcon • 5 hours ago

This guy is so clueless I don't even know where to start... I would feel sorry for him if he wasn't such a pretentious boob...

3 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



ToSFollower • 5 hours ago

The world is just one big porn movie.

3 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›



rahul • 5 hours ago

if anyone wanted to read about a loner sociopath's opinions on love and relationships, i guess this is the right article to read.

3 ^ | v • [Share](#) ›

**sailirish7** · 5 hours ago

Everyone pays for sex, it's just a question of with what...

3 ^ | v · Share ›

**CaptObvious87** · 5 hours ago

The sad part is the CEO doesn't understand what love is. Love has nothing to do with infatuation as he presumes it to be. Love doesn't even have anything to do with money. The true damage of sites like his, is that they are built on lust, desire and availability. None of those websites have to do with actually loving the other person, because it is based out of self seeking behavior. It is no wonder why today's society continues to deteriorate, because of websites like what the CEO proposes. No one will have the willingness to truly care or even sacrifice for the good of the other person. it is all about what you yourself can get, instead of what you can give.

3 ^ | v · Share ›

**wwoman** → **CaptObvious87** · 5 hours ago

Some people don't want a commitment, family, etc., and there's nothing wrong with that. It doesn't affect you. It has nothing to do with society deteriorating. Sex, money and power have ruled the world since the beginning of time.

^ | v · Share ›

**wwoman** → **wwoman** · 5 hours ago

In fact, it's when people think that they want commitment, family, etc. then decide that they don't that society can deteriorate.

^ | v · Share ›

**Mark Jansen** → **CaptObvious87** · 5 hours ago

Society is not deteriorating. None of this is new. Just because the technology has made it easier doesn't make the practice suddenly en vogue. People have been selling themselves to the highest bidder for as long as civilization has been around. At one point, not too long ago, people sold their own daughters into marriage for money or some business proposition. For generations we've been teaching young girls to find successful men that will support them. Just because they've dropped the pretense doesn't make it new.

^ | v · Share ›

**r2central** · 5 hours ago

If being rich means sacrificing emotional connection to other human beings, especially my wife, then I'll take poverty. But the truly wealthy people I know had love in their life, so I won't settle for poverty.

3 ^ | v · Share ›

**Mark Jansen** → **r2central** · 5 hours ago

Yeah, it's BS.. The rich can and do find love. The only draw back for the rich is that they tend to attract gold diggers and sorting through them can be a pain. I can think of worse problems though.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**CrazyLiberals** → **Mark Jansen** · 5 hours ago

I think the simple math is that you try to find someone on your level.

2 ^ | v · Share ›

**r2central** → **Mark Jansen** · 5 hours ago

True. There are worse problems.

^ | v · Share ›

**MissKarenina** · 5 hours ago

Obviously, he's never been in love. Kinda sad, really.

3 ^ | v · Share ›

**Pantymen** · 6 hours ago

i girl once told me i wasn't big enough and i said i didn't know i'd be playing in such a large arena

3 ^ | v · Share ›

**Pantymen** · 6 hours ago

sounds like an escort service to me

3 ^ | v · Share ›

**iwcforsure** → **Pantymen** · 6 hours ago

Pretty much.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**MickeyOregon** · 6 hours ago

This guy sounds like, seems like, the sort of anti-social, insecure, narcissistic outlier that when younger wouldn't seem out of place well-armed, in a trench coat walking high-school halls.

3 ^ | v • Share ›



iwcforsure • 6 hours ago

You ramble about your lack of good looks. You obviously lack confidence. Perhaps that's why you were never able to find a woman?

3 ^ | v • Share ›



southernhippy • 6 hours ago

May be it's time to tax the rich back to reality...

3 ^ | v • Share ›



Damien MacBayne → **southernhippy** • 6 hours ago

That'll teach em for being so darn successful and hard working. Please.

^ | v • Share ›



southernhippy → **Damien MacBayne** • 6 hours ago

We all work hard regardless what fake news tells you. Some are just lucky enough to get enough money to game our system while others just try to feed themselves and their family's.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



southernhippy → **Damien MacBayne** • 6 hours ago

Fool, everyone works hard just got better lawyers to feed them more of our tax money..

1 ^ | v • Share ›



zebraref • 6 hours ago

I'm sorry but women who are looking for a "sugar daddy" are nothing more than ho's. The same applies to men looking for a "sugar momma".

3 ^ | v • Share ›



Scott Goodson • 6 hours ago

It is strange he posits love is a fiction of the poor; then 2 sentences later declares deeper love is needed in relationships. In context, his assertion only applies to swoony first sight love I suppose...but it is a strange paradigm to advocate for by catering to the supremacy of resources.

3 ^ | v • Share ›



more of the same... → **Scott Goodson** • 6 hours ago

because it's some little snotty rich clown who took out a one page ad on CNN to tell everyone how awesome he is. he is a hypocrite.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Banana Mango → **Scott Goodson** • 6 hours ago

*implies to
Learn English.

^ | v • Share ›



LynneM517 → **Banana Mango** • 6 hours ago

hahaha, in his context, applies was actually correct. Try again, slugger.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



J S → **Banana Mango** • 5 hours ago

You're incorrect, oh smarmy and uninformed one.

^ | v • Share ›



billythekid2012 • 6 hours ago

Money is the greatest equalizer on this planet! Period! Anybody will date for money over looks any day of the week. Ladies you could find the best looking guy on the planet and if he is unemployed and you are paying for the check, he is out! Now if a 6 comes along driving a Ferrari, you'll be skipping your birth control for that day!

3 ^ | v • Share ›



Pantyman → **billythekid2012** • 6 hours ago

no doubt

^ | v • Share ›



JoeySmoe • 7 hours ago

Sounds like a guy who only wanted women way outside of his league, he seems to care more about his money and their looks and not about finding love and a true companion for life.

3 ^ | v • Share ›



billythekid2012 → JoeySmoeY • 6 hours ago

Let me ask why should anyone be considered out of their league? You are just as bad equating people on a looks scale. Just because someone looks a certain way they can't date outside of their number? Pretty shallow!

2 ^ | v • Share ›



disqus_UPguSO3RR4 • 7 hours ago

Wow! This guy really is out of touch and has no idea what love is. His comment "[I was] too shy to make my assets work to my advantage", makes that abundantly clear. And this is followed by "I finally had the tools to succeed with women, but lacked the confidence ...". Not to be mean spirited but he still doesn't "have the tools to succeed with women". Any women who is focused on your assets is not a woman worth knowing.

3 ^ | v • Share ›



terry → disqus_UPguSO3RR4 • 7 hours ago

Really depends on the SIZE of your assets, doesn't it?

^ | v • Share ›



disqus_UPguSO3RR4 → terry • 7 hours ago

No.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



beertoast • 7 hours ago

Mr. Wade is just another born loser trying to justify his lack of social skills and resulting love and adoration of money.

3 ^ | v • Share ›



terry • 7 hours ago

WOW! Well written and a compelling argument, had me biting right up until these words "Love is a concept invented by poor people. Love at first sight that sends shivers down your spine is a fairy tale, and it doesn't last forever!" Felt a little sorry for you at that point, but..... I regress! I guess i was just blessed then, because those shivers happened to me several times! So good I'd do it again with the same people!! When it does happen you never forget them, trust me! So why didn't it last? Well some MIT guy convinced them they would be happier exchanging REAL LOVE for that shiny new car behind door #2(and really it is a BIG..... NUMBER 2)! He didn't mention his intentions were really just the sex for a little money, and it will be for as little money as they can get away with giving!!!! It will end too, as this MIT guys see's the bank account starting to fall too rapidly(time to start over new girl, same old tricks)! Eventually the "ladies"(?) will fall out of the game as they grow older and be relegated to finding that poor guy, because Mr.MIT wouldn't waste cash on her anymore! Nice attempt tho at convincing society that their morals shouldn't interfere with your business model or.....CASH STREAM! Damn! I knew I should have sent my kids to MIT!!!!

3 ^ | v • Share ›



octogator → terry • 7 hours ago

What a great reply to this piece. Thank you. I had so many amazing experiences as a young person chasing Love and disregarding wealth. Living in the USA helps since you only have to try a tiny bit to have a place to sleep comfortably and some food. Now i suppose I'm being more practical with my decisions regarding everything because my wife and I have kids and our love spills over to influence our thinking about how our decisions impact these kids that we love.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



terry → octogator • 6 hours ago

Thank you, I've been lucky (or unlucky?) to have seen and lived thru this kind crap he's spouting!Never ends well for the people involved in it! Sad really!

^ | v • Share ›



Pythagore • 9 hours ago

Marriage does not make any sense for men, particularly in America. You are married to a woman, you're done!
Partnership between men have a potential to be more stable, although I cannot wrap my mind around male homosexuality. But two men are more likely to have a constructive relationship than a man and a woman!

3 ^ | v • Share ›



Tomi Roshi → Pythagore • 8 hours ago

Agreed there is no benefit to men in marriage. Also agree that two men work better together than a man and a woman.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Alex Van Hagar • a day ago

Brandon Wade nailed this in a coffin! I am going further to say there are men with incomes of 6 figures still have trouble meeting women. Now, if you're in Asia then that's a different ball game! If only they turn this into a reality TV series and enough of those fake/crap marriage shows!

3 ^ | v • Share ›



elyf39 → Alex Van Hagar • 9 hours ago

May be because men (starting from age 14 or so) are trying to meet 'women' to satisfy their needs with and not human beings to share their life and soul with? I had my first kiss at age 20, and I didn't feel sorry for myself like this Wade guy did. And I've been in a difficult but happy (meaning love didn't die) relationship for 3 years. How can you even call it a relationship if the woman is dating the money, not the man himself?

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Bill • 6 hours ago

What is the best pick up line for a guy? Hey, I just won the lottery ! Funny how a man gets better looking, smarter and younger in the eyes of SOME women. (Trying not to stereotype here). They did a study in the U.K. and asked 16 year old girls what their goals were and the researchers were shocked to here them say, find a rich guy, get pregnant, have his kid and then divorce and have the ex pay for their life style. They were not kidding....they were serious....

4 ^ | v • Share ›



CrazyLiberals → Bill • 6 hours ago

They were also 16.

I'm a good looking guy, and I had way more game when I was broke and mean to young girls who thought they could change me.

Being nice, caring, vulnerable yet good looking and successful with very little time for BS means maybe you don't get TONS of chicks anymore, suddenly you just get a good one.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Damien MacBayne → Bill • 6 hours ago

Is Golddigger now a career in the eyes of these young women? I think so.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Charlie • 6 hours ago

I suspect this guy has Asperger's syndrome. Half of Silicon Valley workers do. They're technically smart, but socially retarded. These types of guys are so used to getting rejected that they become hardened to love and distrustful of women. It's sad really, but, unfortunately guys like him always have hard times finding women. They just don't know how to relate to other people, not just women.

4 ^ | v • Share ›



Jupman → Charlie • 5 hours ago

Why does everyone have to have Asperger's. or Autism just because they don't fit in.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Charlie → Jupman • 5 hours ago

My son is Aspie, he exhibits the same characteristics as this Wade guy. Mr. Wade's problem is far worse than not just fitting in.

^ | v • Share ›



KingofKings → Charlie • 6 hours ago

He says Flat Out he doesn't have the looks to play at the level he wants, what is wrong with playing to the strengths he does have?

1 ^ | v • Share ›



CrazyLiberals → KingofKings • 6 hours ago

And that is hiring a prozzie. and building a website for prozzies.

^ | v • Share ›



KingofKings → CrazyLiberals • 6 hours ago

Sorry Obama didn't send you a check this month, I know it sucks to be poor.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



CrazyLiberals → KingofKings • 6 hours ago

The icon and name are a joke get over it.

^ | v • Share ›



LynneM517 → Charlie • 6 hours ago

His ability to perceive her social cues and the manner in which he is speaking, making eye contact, etc. all suggest he does not, in fact, fall anywhere on the spectrum. He also allowed physical contact when she fixed his hair. Sorry no.

^ | v • Share ›



J S → LynneM517 • 5 hours ago

You're dead wrong. It's called a spectrum for a reason. He may fall on a different part of said spectrum and only exhibit certain traits.

^ | v • Share ›



Damien MacBayne → Charlie • 6 hours ago

Astute observation, and very accurate. They don't call it Man Jose (as opposed to San Jose for those not from the Bay Area) for nothing.

^ | v • Share ›



John of the silence • 6 hours ago

CEO's are mostly psychopaths, and hence don't feel love towards others. So his statement is correct in his world.

4 ^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → John of the silence • 6 hours ago

Ok, the facts are that the rate of psychopathology in CEOs is only about double the average in the population. Recent research, just thought you'd like to know.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



DeadPool → John of the silence • 6 hours ago

Sociopaths.
and Yes, you're absolutely correct.

^ | v • Share ›



jds83210 → DeadPool • 6 hours ago

There was an article recently stating that not all psychopaths are murders citing the lack of empathy. They listed several careers that this time of person is drawn to...number one of the list is CEO, number 2 was Lawyer. They also listed jobs for the other side of the coin. High on that list was nurse and teacher.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



DeadPool → jds83210 • 6 hours ago

Technically speaking, psychopaths who have not and will not make the leap to the next level (murder and violence) are sociopaths.

These are the people that have what it takes to rise to the top in corporations and government OR to simply ensure their comfortable existence isn't interrupted or hampered - the 40 year old child who refuses to leave your basement, the terrible tenant, the controlling spouse.

^ | v • Share ›



John of the silence → DeadPool • 6 hours ago

That is categorically false. According to the DSM-5 sociopaths tend to live on the fringes of society or loners who are easily agitated and prone to rage and violent outbursts. They find it very difficult to hold down a job.

A psychopath on the other hand, while sharing various traits like showing no empathy or remorse for others, no guilt, and CAN be prone to violent outbursts, they are mostly charming, can easily gain people's trust and manipulate them to their specific gains.

Sounds like a typical CEO to me right?

3 ^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → DeadPool • 6 hours ago

This is complete BS. Read the DSM and stop purveying your uneducated view of the world.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



DeadPool → Prevalent • 6 hours ago

You seem very adamant about having your word accepted.
I can see why you're sensitive about this sort of thing.

^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → DeadPool • 6 hours ago

Read the authority for professionals, which is the DSM.

You are talking complete BS.

^ | v • Share ›

**DeadPool** → Prevalent · 6 hours ago

Though I've read contemporary classifications in mental health manuals, I still prefer to agree with the writings of psychiatrists with long histories of treating patients.

^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Pantyman** · 7 hours ago

if you like bj's and sex don't get married

4 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**my10cents** · 4 hours ago

It is obvious that Brandon Wade used his money and his web site to find enough willing participants to help him overcome the trauma of his lonely youth. This article isn't a rebuke of love but an example of overcoming a delayed maturity using money and sex. However Brandon's current successful relationship is a rebuke of his web site because his partner was not an actual candidate from his site. Whether he believes it or not I'm sure that he chose his partner because she really was different from the profiles of the majority of the candidates on his site.

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**ThatsTheWayItIs** · 4 hours ago

Romantic love exists only in human males. It's necessary to bond the man to the woman, so he will stay with her to protect and provide for their offspring.

Human intelligence meant large heads (ouch!) and therefore small litters, and long maturation times. That combined with high infant mortality rate meant that for the human race to even survive, women had to be mothers their entire adult life, and relatively helpless and unproductive.

Women were only recently emancipated from lifelong motherhood by modern medicine like vaccines - ALL of which came from males of European descent, like Pasteur and Salk. If Europe had never existed, life expectancy would still be age 30, the world would be poor, and women still enslaved.

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Samohthome! Gnallanpeng** · 5 hours ago

I think the guy forgot to mention that along with the glamor, these so called good looking wealthy eccentrics better have good lawyers representing them just in case one of these good looking women decide to cry rape, or get these guys entangled in a messy pregnancy or marriage costing them an expensive divorce or out of a relationship. Reading an article sometime back about a guy in his late 40s, had a hot looking girlfriend and this guy had a few million and when he broke the engagement off, and demanded to get his ring back, the state he was in ruled in her favor and she was allowed to keep the \$30,000 diamond engagement ring, plus he had to pay back some money for the arrangements that she made with her money for the wedding, when it got cancelled. In some ways yes this could be a good idea for young people, but in the long run, the institution of marriage will prevail. To me this is a trend that will come and go in 10-20 years and will be forgotten. Anybody remember speed dating? Who hears about those anymore, rarely. I maybe a working joe, but no jealousy here, I found me true love and known her for a really long time. I do not need some hot looking woman to make me happy. When your sick and dying and get old, and you have someone loyal to you by your side, and has been there thru the thick of time, that tells you that love conquers. I maybe traditional, but traditions are there for a reason. Based on this person's views, and graduating MIT, its why our country is losing its values and way in the world, and in a couple of generations will end up as a third world country. The bottom line is that when you find love, like anything in life, you work at it and grow it. This just comes off as selfish and inside empty. Thanks but no thanks I will stay with one woman. Mahal namahal din kita Melanie :)(: XOXOXOXO

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**ToSFollower** · 5 hours ago

Like in the 40 year virgin - this guy put "p***y on a pedestal."

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**citizenUSA** · 5 hours ago

I think this guy wants to date a horse.

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**someone reasonable** · 5 hours ago

"I wasn't buying affection, but rather broadcasting my generosity to a potential audience of women that would normally be inaccessible to me."

Yeah, that's buying affection.

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Mark Jansen** → someone reasonable · 5 hours ago

I'm not buying a used car! It's a pre-owned vehicle!....Yeah, it's a used car.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Alan Sikes** · 5 hours ago

So here is another RICH guy using this platform to slam poor people... "Love is a concept invented by poor people." What? Rich people rob everyone else with their greed, their refusal to pay their share of taxes, their sending jobs overseas, their desire to make the most profit no matter what, etc. Rich people CAUSE others to be poor. The writer of this piece is a smug, self-centered jerk.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Mark Jansen → Alan Sikes • 5 hours ago

If it's any consolation he'll more than likely die alone and bitter. *shrug*

2 ^ | v • Share ›



FactsRFun • 5 hours ago

Mr. Wade certainly has an interesting way of expressing things. That thought that love is for poor people surely is a strange one. But, I don't find issue with his idea that two people should be able to enter a mutually beneficial arrangement and to be straight forward with their expectations and desires upfront even if those desires seem "shallow". Relationships have not always been about love, we as a species survived our early years by choosing mates based on many of those "shallow" characteristics: an ability to provide (wealth) and a desirable genetic make up (attractiveness). Luckily, we aren't choosing a husband or wife with the thought "will this relationship help me survive tomorrow?" but instead it is about making the most of our time on earth. And maybe that is done by entering a romantic relationship, or maybe it is entering one of these sugar relationships. Either way, as long as all parties are coming to the table under their own free will, who cares?

2 ^ | v • Share ›



LT • 5 hours ago

People marry for other reasons than "love and money". Some people marry for the legal status (if you are married and don't know what I mean then good grief!). But I agree society tells people what they should be and this often prevents a person from reaching the level happiness that can be in this life. I say find a friend, a special friend maybe, and if things go well then great. If they don't that's great too. You move on. Have you're own freedom or find another friend. Society is a very powerful force but I can see the world is changing for the better. The concept of love is like a disease that it is spread by people who get pummeled by society.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



C. Eastwood • 5 hours ago

Romantic love in western tradition is not at all a "concept" invented by the poor. Throughout history, the aristocracy of Europe through painting, music, sculpture, poetry, and literature, has pursued and cultivated an idea of pure love, a giving of the body and soul to each other to make the male and female into one.

Then again, what would this Asian dork know about any of this.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Mark Jansen • 5 hours ago

I couldn't care less what people do on these dating sites. Half the marriages I have seen over the years aren't much more than a sugar relationship. Just because you say "I do" and slip on a ring, doesn't suddenly make it any less than a business relationship.

However, he's wrong, there is such a thing as love, even for the rich.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Mike • 6 hours ago

Anything to get your website in an article.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



octogator → Mike • 6 hours ago

Yeah, he seems to still be working his one dimension of compatibility, his ability to earn. He's trying to bolster his case that \$\$ is all anybody needs or wants because it seems to be all he can offer, sadly. Earning is fine as it serves a purpose but to me, LOVE is the point of the whole boogey.

^ | v • Share ›



fricsaid • 6 hours ago

I promised myself as a young adult that I would not compromise my standards regarding my definition of love. I knew what I wanted and I knew what I was willing to give. If those conditions could not be met, I was quite content with the possibility of being alone.

Dating and casual sex is one thing, but trusting your heart to someone and knowing they have done the same with you is something entirely different. If I'm going to dedicate my life to someone, my conditions must be met.

But I've learned along the way and I've added a new aspect to my definition of love.....compromise. It's not going to be perfect.

Today, I speak as a 50 year old man and have been in a relationship for 5 years. Yeah, we have our bumps, but the pro's far outweigh the cons. As I am happy, and I believe she is as well, I think we're doing something right. If the happiness goes away, only then will I step back to reconsider.

Is love a real thing? I believe so. But my definition has nothing to do with wealth or material things. It all revolves around someone adding happiness to your life.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Jupman • 6 hours ago

Do they call this guy like every year to talk about the same thing. Slow news

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Banana Mango • 6 hours ago

Women are like a car. They are in the best condition when you first get it and then slowly starts to get old and broken. When this happens, its time to get a new one.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Damien MacBayne → **Banana Mango** • 6 hours ago

....or they become a priceless classic car that is irreplaceable.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



wwoman → **Banana Mango** • 6 hours ago

Men are like a car...

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Pantymen → **wwoman** • 6 hours ago

how?

^ | v • Share ›



wwoman → **Pantymen** • 6 hours ago

Sorry, I should have finished it.

Men are like a car. They are in the best condition when you first get it and then slowly starts to get old and broken. When this happens, its time to get a new one.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



scarpen8 → **Banana Mango** • 6 hours ago

Oh boy. Prepare to suffer.

^ | v • Share ›



Jane Doe • 6 hours ago

Sociopaths do not have the ability to love anyone, but themselves. Nor, do they have the ability to feel remorse. A healthy human being can do both--love and feel remorse.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Jay • 6 hours ago

I feel like this is a very cynical view of love and marriage. However, as I look around at my friends, family, coworkers, acquaintances, etc...I can't say that he's wrong. I've been married for over 22 years, have 2 beautiful children, and I wouldn't want it any other way. My 16 year old daughter recently told my wife and I, while we were having a little family discussion about dating and relationships, that, "People just don't get married and stay married anymore like you two." It was almost heartbreaking to hear, but at least I know that, while we are trying to show her that lifelong love and happiness are possible, it's not easy, and she is going out into the world with her eyes open to all of the possible realities and not just the fairy tale.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



scarpen8 → **Jay** • 6 hours ago

Our society has convinced our children that self gratification is more important than lasting love. Lasting love is the opposite of self gratification. We see it every day on "reality" TV and many other places that lie to us and say that we must peruse the desires in our heart with little thought to being selfless and meeting the needs of others. I can't even begin to think how to tell this to a 16 year old in a way they would understand. However, life has a way of teaching this lesson, and it is sometimes a hard and painful lesson learned.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → **scarpen8** • 6 hours ago

Yep, but the irony is that -- according to a recent study -- people who use social media such as Facebook are MORE lonely. Apparently it's because online chat and gaming doesn't foster deep relationships that are based on real world challenges.

^ | v • Share ›



scarpen8 → **Prevalent** • 6 hours ago

 That is very interesting. "More" connected is an illusion. Whodathunkit.
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Prevalent** → scarpen8 • 6 hours ago
 My sister badgered me for years to join Facebook. That's somewhat ironic, since I'd been in the challenging adult Second Life virtual world.

I've never met anyone I'd even go to lunch with, in Facebook.
 1 ^ | v • Share ›

 **kittykrazee** → Jay • 6 hours ago
 If you want your daughter to be successful & happy in relationships, then teach her to keep her expectations high & to negotiate, in a straightforward & unfailingly assertive manner, for exactly what she wants. As she matures & improves these abilities, that's how she will learn to leave wishful fantasy behind(a maturation step we all must face in our dating & romantic life) & embrace the realities of how to make relationships worth it.
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Prevalent** → Jay • 6 hours ago
 Your 16 year old is on a course of socialization mapped out by social media big business interests, possibly.
 But definitely, she's asking adults (you) for information about stable relationships. Something about your relationship with your wife doesn't appear practicable for her. So ... give her other examples. A counselor might be best, so that some of the dispassionate options are laid out.
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **jds83210** • 6 hours ago
 This guy is nothing but bitter and placed looks over all of the other intangibles. Compatibility played a huge part when my wife and I were dating. We both wanted the same things out of life, had the same goals, the same values. Those intangibles were attractive to me therefore I find her beautiful. He does a poor job of making the case that relationships that don't follow the traditional model are not necessarily bad, they are just different. I get a lot of flack because my wife and I chose not to have kids, this is against what some consider normal. No two relationships are the same.
 2 ^ | v • Share ›

 **scarpen8** • 6 hours ago
 Relationships are hard work. How they start are less important than how they develop and grow. Recognizing our human instincts to think the grass is greener elsewhere helps to keep the big picture in focus. No matter what, if you are expecting a fairy tale, you will be sorely disappointed. Some relationships, regardless of how the start, will wither and die on the vine and others will continue to bear fruit through dedication, sacrifice, and hard work. Make no mistake, lasting love does not come easy to anyone, regardless of what the hallmark cards and movies try to tell you.
 2 ^ | v • Share ›

 **billythekid2012** → scarpen8 • 6 hours ago
 Ive always found that you have to find someone that has 90% of the qualities you like, and then you need to learn to live with the other 10% No one is perfect!
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **scarpen8** → billythekid2012 • 6 hours ago
 Indeed. We are all insufferable azzholes to some degree. It's good to acknowledge our own shortcomings too.
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Bill** • 6 hours ago
 I don't think he articulated it very well, but he's right. For better or worse, we've blown up gender roles and societal expectations about what you're "supposed to do". Men and women in 2014 are free to seek happiness as they see fit.

If happiness to him is a stereotypical model in his bed and on his arm, and happiness to her is not having to ask how much it costs. Alright, enjoy it while it lasts, kids.

The brutal truth is that everyone does have a "market value" when it comes to dating. It has a number of components, but there is no denying that money and beauty are among them. Eventually, if you're lucky, you find someone who has more or less what you want, and is willing to accept what you have to offer - whatever that may be.

As long as folks are paying their taxes and being reasonably responsible citizens, how they choose to find sexual bliss is none of our business, I think. We don't have to be best buds with them, but wasting a lot of energy judging them is energy that is better spent more constructively.

2 ^ | v • Share ›

**terry** → Bill · 6 hours ago

In the old days it was accomplished with a CLUB! Now it's done with money!

^ | v · Share ›

**Prevalent** → Bill · 6 hours ago

Men and women are no more or less free than they have been thousands of times, historically. This idea that social roles have progressed along with the march of technology/science is worthless.

We're the same social people that there were 3,000 years ago.

^ | v · Share ›

**DeadPool** → Prevalent · 6 hours ago

You really believe that society has no role in behavior regarding gender roles?

^ | v · Share ›

**Chris L** · 7 hours ago

I see this as a paradox. In my experience women look for smart, successful and financially comfortable men - even in a role reversal, some men do the same - but on top of that they want "love." However, when they are in these relationships they find that the mate is no less than demanding, pigheaded and determined with a my-way-or-the-highway attitude toward them.

Therein lies the problem. I heard a great quote once that read "It's difficult to find Mr. Right because he's always working." If a significant other wants all those benefits, there's a trade-off, and it's usually the lack of affection, attention or love. There's a distinct tradeoff between the Joe Average nice guy that struggles to pay his mortgage and the security of Mr. Moneybags who buys properties in cash.

I understand and appreciate the genesis of the author's websites in spite of this paradox.

2 ^ | v · Share ›

**MMM** → Chris L · 7 hours ago

My dad always told me that if men and women could ever survive comfortably without each other, that would be the downfall of society. And I agree to a certain extent. The best relationships are between two people who struggle to make ends meet alone, but when they join forces, they have a nice life together.

2 ^ | v · Share ›

**Prevalent** → MMM · 6 hours ago

There are several conventional M/F relationships. As I noted earlier, you have too much time invested in conventional modern media ideas of proper relationships. Less TV, kiddo, more contemplation and original thinking.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**Chris L** → MMM · 6 hours ago

I agree with this. The idea of sugar daddies and sugar babies is quite the opposite. It's women looking for security they don't have for themselves and they are looking for that fairytale. The fairytale will come with a big castle, but no prince loving them forever.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**MMM** → Chris L · 6 hours ago

I have a lot of female acquaintances (wouldn't call them friends) who bought into this. They married rich men so they wouldn't have to work. They've told me that if they're husbands lost their income, or if they met someone richer, they'd be off like a shot. At least they're honest about it, I suppose. And I can't help but to think that Mr. Moneybags on the other end knew what he was getting into.

^ | v · Share ›

**Guest** → Chris L · 7 hours ago

I find women would be smart and rich tend to be arrogant and want someone else smarter and richer. And women not so smart and rich tend to be not mentally challenging enough.... That's the problem...

^ | v · Share ›

**Chris L** → Guest · 6 hours ago

I'm not sure I subscribe to all of that. My girlfriend and I both do well for ourselves - and neither needs each other financially - which has become more common in this society. We're on the same playing field, and I'm not richer or smarter than her.

The topic is based on women who want more than they have and use their looks to get there. To a rich man, he can take or leave her at any time because money will buy him everything, including arm candy. Not only is this not love, that's not even a relationship. That's what this website accomplishes, truly.

^ | v · Share ›

**Guest** · 7 hours ago

The shot of true love is when a woman goes down on you without you asking....

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**JoeySmoe** · 7 hours ago

Love may not exist for him, but it does for the majority of people.

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**octogator** · 7 hours ago

I really don't like the author of this piece. His selfishness and lack of Love for all life just repulses me. I hope nobody takes him as an expert on love just because he's managed to become wealthy via dating websites.

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**shell** → octogator · 7 hours ago

He may realize, if one day he finds out his own daughter is on one of these websites of his....looking for her own sugar daddy....she'll be 22 and he'll be 58 and then we'll see if good ol' mom and dad are on board with that. I'd love to be a fly on the wall for THAT convo.

3 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Prevalent** → shell · 7 hours ago

Hee, hee, hee.

^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Prevalent** → octogator · 7 hours ago

You are so right!

I actually was asked to join a team for creation of an early dating site ... and believe me ... the ideals were nowhere near as shallow as this fruitcase!

^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Anony** · 7 hours ago

Anyone who says _____ is for poor people should be ignored.

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**straba** · 8 hours ago

He's only ugly on the inside.

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**V.T.** · 8 hours ago

Love was invented by Russians hoping to avoid paying for sex...

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**mike** · 8 hours ago

This guys a loser.

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**jacob v** · 8 hours ago

Hmm... i'm ugly, make close to minimal wage, and am mildly autistic... Where do I stand in all of this? I guess i'll be lucky if I can marry a mop.

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Gretchen** → jacob v · 8 hours ago

That would be incest

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**shell** · 8 hours ago

This guy is living in a dream land. You can't box any dating or expectations (love) into ANY form of relationship. He now claims he's happily married (NOW) because he didn't stick to his form of "society's ideas". But anyone who knows anything about love and relationships knows that you cannot control another or what they will do. His happy marriage can be just as "unhappy" as the "poor" 10yrs from now.

Being wealthy can make life easier in a sense of having a materialistic lifestyle, but it also has its pitfalls on other people in your life that you can really truly trust, and the spouse you choose can and may fall into that category.

So the geeky or fat balding guy wants arm candy and is OK that his wealth is the main reason he gets her, and why because they don't "believe" in love? How can this man sit there and write an article on the downplay of society's relationship concept when his view is so utterly shallow? His whole take is because he's wealthy and successful he's OWED that Barbie doll, and if a woman is beautiful she's OWED the wealthy man. Come on really? I'd rather take a 9-5 blue collar worker who's home with his family than a wealthy man who works 14hr days

and barely takes a vacation. How many times have we seen these "wives"? Hate to tell you bud, but most are unhappy and why....because although it may be exciting life for them at first.....they DO eventually get bored, and in turn....when she starts losing her looks...guess what He's gonna do....Donald Trump is a PERFECT example of this.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Derek → shell • 8 hours ago

Wow.. you read a lot more into this than was said.

^ | v • Share ›



shell → Derek • 8 hours ago

Yeah, it's called the flip side of the coin dude.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Derek → shell • 8 hours ago

.. I am sure you are right. There was certainly a lot in the article that covered the entire subject and social economics of this area.

^ | v • Share ›



shell → Derek • 8 hours ago

Yeah, well truth hurts, not that I care what you think of my opinion....if I'm so wrong then go find the artical about the married father of 5 who was killed off last year by one of these 'women' that he picked up from one of these sites....then read my middle paragraph.....nuf' said.

^ | v • Share ›



Joshua_T • 9 hours ago

Why are so many of you disturbed by this article or by Mr. Wade's actions? He may not be right in everything he says, but then again, no one is, and that includes 99% of those leaving comments here. Even if Wade is misguided, look at what he has accomplished. He has solved his problem his way and he did a good job at that. Now he is wealthy with multiple websites and he's married. What is there to complain about? And for those who utilize his websites, that's their business and they are allowed to do what they please as long as it makes them happy. And as for the "sugar daddy" situation, this arrangement is as old as the concept of marriage itself. It goes back to times when marriages were arranged for reasons of convenience, status, and finance.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



bccream • 17 hours ago

So in essence men's wealth only can seduce women, and men are seduced by physical appearance of women. If women were to be as sexual as men or men could be less sexual as women, perhaps these transitional relationships would not exist.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



KingofKings • 6 hours ago

This isn't Prostitution by another name by a long shot. There is no Quid Pro Quo, just a general framing of the relationship.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



CrazyLiberals → KingofKings • 5 hours ago

Seriously man, you PAY for chicks? Not going to claim I've never gotten a lap dance or what have you.

But seriously, you have to resort to paying a website to help you find prostitutes? LOL

^ | v • Share ›



CrazyLiberals → KingofKings • 5 hours ago

Any dude who has to resort to using it is laughable. I checked it out, you have to pay for it.

Why are dudes so clueless when it comes to getting women?

^ | v • Share ›



Trollbooth • 6 hours ago

Girls will do just about anything for a menthol cigarette.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



billythekid2012 → Trollbooth • 6 hours ago

You sir win the internet for the day on this comment!

1 ^ | v • Share ›



David H. Thoreau • 7 hours ago

This is not surprising. Brandon Wade is a Republican contributor. It follows that he does not believe in love, compassion or empathy. He would rather see poor people die than sign up for Obamacare. He favors sending our youth to die in useless wars if it helps his stock portfolio. He undoubtedly forgets his own family's immigrant background, and is anti immigrant. He does not care about global warming, women's rights or civil rights.

So, a Big Time Republican does not believe in human emotion. What's news about that?

2 ^ | v • Share ›



loverpoint → David H. Thoreau • 7 hours ago

I think that is a fair character assessment .

1 ^ | v • Share ›



JoeySmoey → David H. Thoreau • 7 hours ago

I am anti GOP but not a Democrat. I could care less about global warming, it is a total crock. I do care about pollution and protecting the environment but get sick of this global warming crap.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → JoeySmoey • 7 hours ago

Lolol. What a tool. You just proved you can't think at all. Next?

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Edgar Holland → JoeySmoey • 7 hours ago

but atleast you care about the war on women, war on minorities, war on gays, war on sugar and the war on everyone who wars on Debbie Warsseman Schultz right?

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Butter Pops → JoeySmoey • 7 hours ago

and you MUST be anti-science as well.....creationist too..?

^ | v • Share ›



MostWonderful → David H. Thoreau • 7 hours ago

You allegations clearly suggest Republicans are evil people who are intentionally harming others in order to satisfy their own perverse pleasures; your comments ascribe them to the role of criminals.

Let me ask you then would you round up republicans and place them in interment camps for your pleasure? Or perhaps you have something even worse in mind for them?

^ | v • Share ›



loverpoint → MostWonderful • 7 hours ago

Republicans have created their own isolationist interment camp - It is called the Tea Party .

The Isolationist camp they have created for themselves does not believe in science . They only want to live in a segregated White Christian Community , they prefer to live in the social prisons they create for themselves .

^ | v • Share ›



Guest → David H. Thoreau • 7 hours ago

Democrats are the ones that like having babies out of wedlock and not interested in entering things called marriage...Also, democrats are the one that inflict the great marriage penalty taxes.....

If in doubt, just consider this... Democrats/obama classifies "rich" as being \$200k if you are single, or \$250k if you are married... I guess one of the spouses is only "worth" \$50k more married than if the two remained single

^ | v • Share ›



Lee-Anne Griffin → David H. Thoreau • 7 hours ago

Are you fracking kidding me? Love is now a political thing? What garbage. I guess liberals don't believe in love either since conservatives tend to give more private donations to charity so it "proves" they care more than liberals. LOL..what a loser to try and turn love into a political thing.

^ | v • Share ›



Edgar Holland → Lee-Anne Griffin • 7 hours ago

Thats why its called ObamaCare because Obama cares very much about all of us. That is why he and his buddies in Congress are all exempt from it so there is more healthcare in there for us!

^ | v • Share ›



loverpoint → Edgar Holland • 7 hours ago

Obama does not have any Republican Majority friends in Congress . The Tea Party / Republicans have hated him for several reasons , but #1 is because he is black and has done things the public approves of . Obama wants to create jobs, Republican Majority Congress does not .

^ | v • Share ›



MostWonderful → loverpoint • 7 hours ago

And why does the Republican House not wish to create jobs? Do you believe Republicans simply enjoy the spectacle of others experiencing misery and depravation?

Do you believe there are tens of millions of American's who go to sleep at night praying not another job is created so that others can suffer?

Most importantly if you believe all of this, and you were in charge what would you do to these people who you believe are so depraved?

^ | v • Share ›



Lee-Anne Griffin → loverpoint • 7 hours ago

Blah blah blah racist card blah blah blah gop doesn't care blah blah blah useless rhetoric.

^ | v • Share ›



terry → David H. Thoreau • 7 hours ago

How can you twist politics into this discussion? HUMMMMM?

^ | v • Share ›



jason grenier • 8 hours ago

Love in these days is not viewed the same as it was years ago. There is too much temptation out there. People are selfish and not willing to work things out with their partners. They feel it's easier to end the relationship or marriage and move on. I am 38 and have an 11 year old son. I have never been married and probably never will be married. I see too many marriages and relationships end as fast as they started. You honestly can't rely on the other person you are in a relationship with cause they could easily just give up on everything you are willing to work for to make it work.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



shell → jason grenier • 7 hours ago

I agree 100% with what you said. I too have never married and I'm 45. I have two kids that I financially support on my own. My oldest has a younger brother that is 8 months younger than him (his father wasted no time). I refuse to marry anyone that I cannot trust completely and have the loving commitment with it. Life is too short. I will admit though, I am one that doesn't "try" to make a relationship work....I refuse to beg anyone to stay with me, I'm financially independent and I've made no man be a father, to the point I didn't even demand child support if they wanted out of that as well. I don't have time in my life to beg and play stupid games with people. You are either 100% with me or your not....I believe in compromise sure...but there are just some things I refuse to bend on.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



DeclineAndFallOfAmerica → jason grenier • 7 hours ago

Boy, you're just a regular ray of sunshine.

Most people I know are invested in their relationships, financially, emotionally, etc. and would make an honest effort to protect that investment. In these fictitious idyllic years ago times you fantasize about, people continued to immerse themselves in dysfunctional relationships to the detriment of all involved, including and most especially the children. People of today realize that life is too short to be that miserable.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



fasdfasdfd → DeclineAndFallOfAmerica • 5 hours ago

we all can't live in trailer parks, Jethro.

^ | v • Share ›



Tomi Roshi → jason grenier • 8 hours ago

Everyone is selfish.

^ | v • Share ›



misbel1 • 8 hours ago

I really hate that he calls the women "empowered". The man is a consumer and the women is a consumed product. Where is the power? Power is getting your own education and money so you don't have to "date" unattractive jerks who think less of you. I had education debt, so I understand the pressure and fear. But if the girl has even one moment of dread, hesitation, or shame, she should stop the "relationship". Notice for all of the "empowerment" how quick he was to mention that he didn't meet his wife on the site. I bet he will not enroll his daughters, either.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



shell → misbel1 • 8 hours ago

He's an internet pimp....all he's trying to do is make it sound legit and ethical.

^ | v • Share ›



Derek → shel • 8 hours ago

It is legal.. and who gets to decide what is 'ethical' ?

2 ^ | v • Share ›



shell → Derek • 8 hours ago

did you miss the part in the article when he mentions that he has to throw off dozens of prostitutes?

^ | v • Share ›



Derek → shel • 8 hours ago

So is prostitution unethical? Who gets to decide what a consenting adult does with her body?

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Adelmo1 → Derek • 8 hours ago

I agree with you. I'm a woman, and I don't have a problem with prostitution. I'd rather see a guy blow off steam there and keep his family intact than blow up his family, only to remarry the mistress and be miserable in a few years, with a new set of kids to boot. Is it the romantic ideal? No; however children need intact families for reasons I don't have space to go into here.

3 ^ | v • Share ›



Derek → Adelmo1 • 7 hours ago

Prostitution is and always has been more than just about a physical need.. it is why many prostitutes advertise 'girlfriend sex'... The men are not always looking for the physical.. they want, for a short time to get something they do not get at home at that time and that is the 'feeling' of being wanted and loved. If that is available occasionally, there would likely be less mistresses and maybe less divorces.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



shell → Derek • 8 hours ago

Um, because in most states it's illegal? Now I didn't make that law so don't be blaming me.

^ | v • Share ›



Derek → shel • 8 hours ago

I was not referring to whether it was legal.. I asked if it was unethical.

^ | v • Share ›



shell → Derek • 8 hours ago

Well...would you date a prostitute? Would you marry one? My guess is no, and let's be honest....most men don't marry them....now think of the reasons why they don't.

^ | v • Share ›



Derek → shel • 8 hours ago

Would I? no.. but that is not the point. Is prostitution unethical and if so, who gets to decide?.. why is it illegal?

I am not looking for answers.. just raising the question. I have no problems with anyone doing whatever they want as long as it does not infringe on the rights of others. Want to sell your body? Go ahead.. Want to marry someone same sex? go ahead. Want to load yourself up with drugs, not wear a seat belt? fine. Want to pimp yourself out to a rich guy for 6 months? ok..

2 ^ | v • Share ›



shell → Derek • 7 hours ago

Of course you wouldn't....why? You didn't answer the question. Your reason are based because the true answer is "unethical" to you. In all honesty prostitutes are unethical to you. You can't have it both ways. You sound like the guy in the article.

^ | v • Share ›



Derek → shel • 7 hours ago

Now your just being insulting. prostitution is not unethical and just because you have an issue with it does not make that the case.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



**shell** → Derek • 7 hours ago

apparently you do too, since you can't seem to even THINK about marrying or even dating on of them, and the reasons you can't is because you think they are unethical TO YOU and FOR YOU. I do NOT think prostitution is unethical if they choose to live that life and remain single and not bear children....that is THEIR right. But these "prostitutes" are hitting these "dating sites" even by the author's own admittance so that IS unethical is it not? These men are looking for woman that are in essence wanting to be "paid" or "taken care of"....but they DON'T like that word prostitute. This isn't Pretty Woman here.....they wan't the "feel" of the relationship without the passing title...they would NEVER knowingly "date" a "prostitute" because can you imagine the headlines? They want a mistress....to them the word sounds much more society acceptable than the word prostitute. So you see Derek, it's not ME who thinks it's unethical...but every single man that has put an ad up on a sugar daddy site....

^ | v • Share ›

**Derek** → shell • 7 hours ago

Well.. you're wrong.. but I don't care to 'debate' the issue with someone who has a hostile nature.

^ | v • Share ›

**shell** → Derek • 7 hours ago

I'm hostile? LOL....I'm wrong? OK...you can't even bring yourself to date or marry a prostitute yourself but in your eyes I'm the only one being judgmental here? Well, that's OK, I'll take the fall. I'd rather live the rest of my life alone than to enter a shallow warped sense of "love" any day. To each their own, but you know something Derek...I'm NOT the one that posted an article telling EVERYONE how we need to be "accepting" of this way of life. Who is he to tell me that? All he is, is a man who created sugar daddy websites, who kicks prostitutes off daily by his own admittance, also by his own admittance says he receives a lot of flack for what he does....so you see...is this author really trying to stand up for what he says or is he just trying to defend his overall character of being nothing more than an internet pimp?

^ | v • Share ›

**Prevalent** → Derek • 7 hours ago

Good call. Anyone who needs to "qualify" every third word with quotes is not interested in dealing with general social conventions.

^ | v • Share ›

**misbel1** → shell • 7 hours ago

I think he is on a slippery slope. They want their conquests to not be "prostitutes". These men want a better "grade" of woman, the one they really can't get, the one with self worth and beauty who is only doing this to pay for something, not as a "job". They want the illusion of a real relationship to make them feel better, without regard for how the girl feels, because she is not looking for one. Otherwise, they would just pay for sex with a call girl. But they turn the girl into an escort by engaging in this, so they have to move on.

^ | v • Share ›

**Banana Mango** • 4 hours ago

I love my TV remote more than I love my wife.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**someone reasonable** • 5 hours ago

Pop Quiz Commenters:

A man (we'll call him Mr. Wade) is not a traditionally attractive man. He is also a bit socially awkward and gets nervous around pretty women. On day, Mr. Wade is approached by a very attractive young woman who says she would like to spend some time with him and implies that sex will be a part of the experience. Mr. Wade asks why she would do this and she replies that she expects one of the following to happen: Either Mr. Wade can give her \$50,000 for the breast augmentation she's been meaning to get, or he can take her on a 2-week vacation to Cabo. Mr. Wade agrees to give her the \$50,000 (because hey, breast augmentation) and they go out on several dinner dates all followed by stuffy rich-person sex. Did Mr. Wade just hire an escort?

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**wwoman** → someone reasonable • 5 hours ago

If you replace the sex with any other service that a typical person would pay for, then this is nothing more than a business transaction, a service in exchange for money.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**Chris Necuze** → wwoman • 4 hours ago

So yes, Mr. Wade just hired an escort.

^ | v • Share ›

**t0ofly** • 5 hours ago



love exists but then she leaves you for someone else

1 ^ | v • Share ›



proteus vulgaris • 5 hours ago

I am totally clueless what this article wants to convey. BS

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Momewraths Outgrabe → **proteus vulgaris** • 5 hours ago

The guy just sounds like he's a loser in the romance department. He should get a dog.

^ | v • Share ›



Delver12000 • 5 hours ago

I got bored and stopped reading the article. Meh.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Mark Jansen • 5 hours ago

It's no surprise really. For generations we've been teaching young girls especially that they need to find a man that will support them in the way they'd like to become accustomed. Just a few months ago there was some crazy women making the circuit telling young women they should use college as a way to land a successful guy. When you place money above relationships, why be surprised when a percentage of the population decide to drop all pretense and make it formal?

Anyone can find love though. It's a matter of priorities. If love is not at the top of the list then it no surprise you're having a problem either.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Bill Contrary • 5 hours ago

There seems to be a deluge of these articles on CNN and elsewhere - How to find a sugar daddy, I was seduced by a rich man, the matchmaker for the rich, why women can't find a (financially) marriagible man...

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Gregg Abbott loves corruption • 5 hours ago

so his website is a sham in other words.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Pantyman • 6 hours ago

women - have you ever been with a guy who was 10 inches?

1 ^ | v • Share ›



octogator → **Pantyman** • 5 hours ago

Pantyman, you're obsessed with dudes with big ones, huh? It's all you comment about.

^ | v • Share ›



Pantyman → **octogator** • 5 hours ago

that's because i'm 9 inches

^ | v • Share ›



CrazyLiberals → **Pantyman** • 5 hours ago

How many women on here have ever been with the whole football team?

^ | v • Share ›



CrazyLiberals → **Pantyman** • 5 hours ago

LOL

^ | v • Share ›



Revery • 6 hours ago

While this opinion article appears to be a mini-manifesto of some sort of sociopath, this point should not be glossed over: "When we start perceiving acts of kindness from a man as an assault on independence, I question that brand of feminism."

- This seems to be an ever increasing reaction from women in public. When a compliment is consistently met with "I do not need your approval", it can make even 'good' men real shy, real quick. Sometimes we are just saying Hi!

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Mark Jansen → **Revery** • 5 hours ago

I would question whether it's feminism at all. Sounds like unadulterated insecurity to me. when a simple compliment is taken that defensively, it's the obvious reason.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**kittykrazzee** · 6 hours ago

Wade makes a lot of sense. IF--I said if--people are smart & grounded enough to know what they want & need, they don't have to acquiesce to the cultural assumptions, fairy tales, & general cluelessness with which too many people go blundering into relationships. With the assistance of his site, people can(ideally) just negotiate straightforwardly & assertively for what they want!

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›**Hilarious** · 6 hours ago

There is a such thing as love, but you have to be mature to honestly understand it.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›**Pantyman** · 6 hours ago

women - is 6 inches big enough to satisfy you?

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›**Damien MacBayne** → Pantyman · 6 hours ago

Why are women so bad at measurments? Because they have been told their whole lives that this (actual representation in scale):
[_____] equals 8 inches.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›**wwoman** → Damien MacBayne · 5 hours ago

A woman wouldn't measure unless you're not getting the job done. Otherwise, why would she care?

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›**CrazyLiberals** → wwoman · 5 hours ago

Some girls like big ones

^ | v · [Share](#) ›**Pantyman** → Damien MacBayne · 6 hours ago

lol, a girl once told me she dated a guy that was 10 inches and then i showed her a ruler and she said oh, he was probably 7

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›**Jupman** → Pantyman · 6 hours ago

Well 9 is apparently too much. You don't more girls with bigger ones.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›**Pantyman** → Jupman · 6 hours ago

english please?

2 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›**Damien MacBayne** → Jupman · 6 hours ago

Like throwing a hot dog down a hallway.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›**Pantyman** → Damien MacBayne · 6 hours ago

that's how it is with my wife

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›**KingofKings** · 6 hours ago

What reasons for wanting to be with someone are Good & Wholesome and which reasons are Vile and Disgusting?

Good & Wholesome

1. Handsome/Pretty

2. Intelligent

3. Funny

Vile & Disgusting

1. Generous

2. Successful

3. Wealthy

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›**Damien MacBayne** · 6 hours ago

Who would want a quasi-prostitute for a wife or life partner? Wouldn't this undercurrent constantly bother you? What if a bigger wallet or better offer came along? I will stick with love the old fashioned way. Totally off topic, but do you notice how tons of smoking hot girls are with total trainwreck losers? I personally think women like to "fix" or "save" broken guys.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**KingofKings** → Damien MacBayne · 6 hours ago

What if YOUR wife married you for your looks, are you afraid of the OTHER pretty boys?

^ | v · Share ›

**Damien MacBayne** → KingofKings · 6 hours ago

My wife hates the "pretty" type, she goes for the more rugged manly type. And if she married me for my looks, she did pretty good, not to toot my own horn but I am a pretty decent looking guy...and I am rugged as a Jeep Rubicon, plus we own a business together and do pretty good financially, best of both worlds.

^ | v · Share ›

**KingofKings** → Damien MacBayne · 6 hours ago

Point being Cowboy, there is ALWAYS a Marlboro Man that looks better, just like a guy is richer then you, just like someone is funnier then you. Who knows why your wife married you, it could be for one or many reasons NONE of which you are the best at. Yet you single out money as IF that is the reason, then you have something to fear that you don't, I don't get that.

^ | v · Share ›

**CrazyLiberals** → KingofKings · 6 hours ago

It's a rather dumb argument. You sound worried that you can't hang on to a chick yourself.

Maybe learn how to recognize a prozzie next time

^ | v · Share ›

**KingofKings** → CrazyLiberals · 6 hours ago

So your pretty poor, aren't you?

^ | v · Share ›

**CrazyLiberals** → KingofKings · 6 hours ago

In comparison to you? Doubtful

^ | v · Share ›

**iwcforsure** · 6 hours ago

"You grow up, you get married, you have babies -- you are not allowed to want anything else."

Why would I want anything other than making my wife and children happy?

That's the difference between me and you, Brandon. I am in love - you aren't.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**CrazyLiberals** → iwcforsure · 5 hours ago

Growing up for me was getting to the point where I even understood what love was. It took a long time to find a woman on my level.

And it's not easy but what drives me is definitely seeing her happy. Like any healthy family relationship.

It's not all black and white of course. And I'm sure you know what I mean but the type of relationships the individuals are seeking on arrangements website are typically not the most rewarding.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**iwcforsure** → CrazyLiberals · 5 hours ago

Absolutely

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**Muffin Man** · 6 hours ago

That read like an overly-long infomercial.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**octogator** · 6 hours ago

If "love is a concept invented by poor people", then wealth is a concept invented by lonely people. More likely love and wealth are both concepts which serve needs of human creatures.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**Notavegan** · 6 hours ago

I think he might be right, I once saw a positively gorgeous blonde walking arm and arm with a short fat bald dude who looked just like a grouper I hooked and threw back.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**zebraref** → Notavegan · 6 hours ago

 Have you seen Kyle Bush's girlfriend/wife? Drop dead gorgeous woman with him? It can only be for the money because he is one ugly dude. Look at Andrew Luck - a neanderthal - what woman in her right mind would hook up with him if it weren't for his money.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

 **KingofKings** • 6 hours ago
I'd rather be in a relationship on one of the authors sites, where at least everyone 'knows the deal' then one in which we both PRETEND we love each other for so called 'wholesome' reasons.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

 **octogator** • 6 hours ago
I'm glad I don't think my wife loves only my ability to earn. I think she does love my dedication to providing for our family however necessary.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Ksbw5** • 6 hours ago
Wealthy people are very f'd up, guys. Let's just call them out now. They live in this strange loveless self-centered bubble. It's flippin depressing watching their odd parading, I try not to even look at them. I adore my hardworking man's man who actually works for a living. I feel so lucky to come home to our little house that he came in, took apart and put back together for us. Everywhere I look in this room I see him because he made it. And he made it for me. I have such compassion for where he came from, what he's done with his life, how hard he works for our family, protects us and our kids and yes, loves me so much. All he asks for from this life is peace and I'm happy to support that. I have loved him for 20 years and counting, and I'll love him until my last breath. I hope that when the time comes it will be with his warm arms around me. Listen, I watched my wealthy parents with everything they could ever want or dream of in their gorgeous big home become strangers, their marriage falling apart as they accumulated more stuff, selfishly breaking up a family and dividing their accumulated crap with money being the focal point of their entire existence. And even now, it still is. I knew I would never want that. I was happy to (as a beautiful young woman at the time, I might add) walk away from that farce, that empty concept that rich people made up.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

 **scarp8** → **Ksbw5** • 6 hours ago
Sounds like the problem was your parents, not their wealth.

3 ^ | v • Share ›

 **KingofKings** • 6 hours ago
This is honest as it gets, Truth is on all other Dating Sites people leverage Beauty, it's right there Prima facie with the profile pics, this site just gets into the hidden things in a mans pants....His Fat Wallet.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

 **LiIMsInformed** • 6 hours ago
I would never go *looking* for a sugar-daddy. And I can't imagine how I would feel if I were a guy and girls only dated me because I was one. Looks, money - all temporary. I find both sides of it pretty nauseating, personally. Whether I was digging or the one wagging my money out (and who verifies whether the men actually have the cash to sign in with swagger?) I once speed dated - wrote a *very* good personal - and received about 250 responses. None of it involved my looks (though I'm high on that scale) - it was all words - well-used and honest. FTR, I didn't meet my husband through that ad - but I did have an interesting year (I think I ended up going on dates with about 25 of them?)

1 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Pantyman** → **LiIMsInformed** • 6 hours ago
did you sleep with all of them?

1 ^ | v • Share ›

 **LiIMsInformed** → **Pantyman** • 6 hours ago
none of them.

^ | v • Share ›

 **Pantyman** → **LiIMsInformed** • 6 hours ago
typical woman, lies

^ | v • Share ›

 **LiIMsInformed** → **Pantyman** • 6 hours ago
why is that a lie ?

^ | v • Share ›

 **Pantyman** → **LiIMsInformed** • 6 hours ago
i don't believe you

^ | v • Share ›

 **LiIMsInformed** → **Pantyman** • 6 hours ago



that's your problem - when women say no, they mean no. Just a thought for you.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Guest • 6 hours ago

I'm sure Ray Rice loves beating up his wife. And his wife loves his money enough to keep staying with him.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



billythekid2012 → Guest • 6 hours ago

Exactly case in point, she had a threshold that basically went along the lines of I can take a beating every 6 mos for the millions that are coming in, why do you think she is bent right now! In her mind she took all that abuse and now no money is coming in, let us see how long she stays with him once the money runs out!

1 ^ | v • Share ›



JediKurac • 7 hours ago

He does have a point: if everything else is disposable in Corporate America, why should relationships be any different.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



octogator → JediKurac • 6 hours ago

Because plastic goods are a relatively new invention. Love is natural. It compels us to find beauty and reproduce and care for our offspring.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



JediKurac → octogator • 6 hours ago

I wasn't talking about plastic goods, but people, jobs, careers, families, happiness..

^ | v • Share ›



octogator → JediKurac • 6 hours ago

You think families and people are disposable? You are making a vast characterization that must have been influenced by your personal experiences. It doesn't apply to my life. My family has been anything but disposable. Humans do die eventually, is that what you mean people are disposable? There are ugly things to see but beauty and love are still here if your eyes can see them.

^ | v • Share ›



JediKurac → octogator • 6 hours ago

No, but every Friday at my large company I see a person or two pack their belongings in cardboard box. How this impacts their family is irrelevant to the managers, thus they are disposable.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



C. Eastwood • 7 hours ago

Lol....this guy must've been a total loser in high school, and not because of his so called lack of looks, but because of being a guy with no social skills whatsoever. Anyways, if he wants to make the most of his money to get the babes, just head on to the Phillipines, or some other third world country overpopulated with beautiful poor women, and he can buy himself three or four wives easily.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Joshua_T → C. Eastwood • 7 hours ago

Are you sure you should throw around the word "loser" like that? We know for sure that he's wealthy now, so looks like he's a winner in the long game.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



C. Eastwood → Joshua_T • 6 hours ago

So what, having lots of money, or a big intellect, does not make you a better, or more interesting person to be around. When you die you don't take either of them with you, and the best times in life you will ever have is of the days and hours, you spend with people you care to be around, and that care to be around you.

^ | v • Share ›



Joshua_T → C. Eastwood • 6 hours ago

But that's your view and he has his. Who can say that one way is truly the right way and the other is wrong. If he has found satisfaction in his niche, then that is as good as anything imo.

^ | v • Share ›



C. Eastwood → Joshua_T • 6 hours ago

The point is, neither money nor intellect is going to guarantee you successful relationships. That is something that comes only from your ability to relate to other human beings. People with money don't care to hang out with you because you have money, they do it because they are interested in you. People with less money than you, may be

interested to hang out with you because of your money, and not necessarily because of what you are. This may be satisfying to some people, but personally I think it's a very shallow, superficial, and unsatisfying way of living life.

^ | v • Share ›



octogator → C. Eastwood • 7 hours ago

Exactly. If you want to take away the whole feelings and human nature stuff, just make a pure transaction and skip the "love" part.

^ | v • Share ›



C. Eastwood → octogator • 6 hours ago

In the old days, buying a wife was a common practice in most societies, and it wasn't such a bad thing because divorce was a rare thing but, thankfully we have moved on from the need for that, and certainly marrying for love is not a bad at all. This guy just makes it sound like the most viable option is a marriage of convenience.

^ | v • Share ›



MMM • 7 hours ago

RE: "A new generation of independent, strong-willed women has cropped up while society is simultaneously raising boys who fail to ever reach their full potential."

And this is a bad thing, how? I am a woman, multi-degreed, from a top-tier university. I make good money, although I'm not wealthy. I don't consider myself a feminist. But I do consider myself to be someone with unique talents to add to the world. Believe it or not, there are some of us out there who don't aspire to be "taken care of" or find a "good provider" so we can live the dream while hubby slaves away. We actually want to leave this world a better place than it was when we came into it. And, should my future husband become chronically ill, like my father did, I want to be able to have the means to take care of him so we both don't go under. Again, this is a bad thing --?

I divorced a man like Mr. Wade, an upper-level exec for a large corporation. When I did, I took nothing except what I had when I entered the marriage. Why did I divorce him? For very good reasons. I'll leave it at that. I have yet to meet a wealthy man who didn't have serious control issues with a tinge of sociopathy. There's a big difference between being successful -- a man being able to take care of himself in a reasonably comfortable fashion -- and not being happy unless one has all of the toys.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



oedad → MMM • 6 hours ago

Whenever a human being fails to reach his or her potential it is a tragedy just because you want a boy toy who doesn't talk back doesn't mean you get to oppress men like women were oppressed in the past

^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → MMM • 7 hours ago

MMM, you might like meeting personalities in the Second Life virtual world. There are experienced people there who, like you, have been around the block a few times.

^ | v • Share ›



terry → MMM • 7 hours ago

been looking for a lady like you!

^ | v • Share ›



MMM → terry • 7 hours ago

Thanks. I cannot seem to shake these vapid money-hungry losers. Just broke up with another one who was shallow and married to his money. The problem for women like me is that we tend to attract successful, entitled men who have zero sense of gratitude for what they have. I would rather date someone who isn't as successful, because at least I know he'd be appreciative of what we have together.

^ | v • Share ›



GimmeABreak → MMM • 6 hours ago

With all due respect, even if you are not doing this overtly, then women like YOU need to be MORE approachable.

Being introverted myself and let the quote / unquote "real men" who fear nothing say what they will... it can be difficult to approach a successful, intelligent woman.

^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → MMM • 7 hours ago

Hmmm. I'd guess the "problem with women like you" is that, while intelligent, you are still too much in the thrall of Oprah and women's magazines.

People such as you aren't stereotypes of women for general consumption ... although you may wish you were.

^ | v • Share ›

**MMM** → Prevalent · 5 hours ago

Actually, the problem is that no men out there want to get married. They just want some sort of nebulous relationship that they don't really have to commit to. I'm having problems finding someone with those Old School values that my parents had. Those guys aren't around anymore.

^ | v · Share ›

**terry** → MMM · 7 hours ago

A women with your intelligence is rare, the men you seek are out there. Most are hard to get to know, because of this kind of shallow(holographic even) outlook in life! Many walk in 3D but think in 2D terms, like MR.MIT does!

^ | v · Share ›

**octogator** · 7 hours ago

Is the author of this piece on some unemotional spectrum? He seems to analyze love from a very shallow place. Is he unaffected by love's overwhelming feelings and just studying it from the outside so he can figure out how to hook up with pretty girls?

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**terry** → octogator · 7 hours ago

Dude just wants to increase the cash flow from his dating sites! If it works his wife will most likely be exchanged soon!

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**Dynan3** · 7 hours ago

What we feel as Love (capital L) is our losing of ourselves in caring for another we cherish more than our own ego. It is the stepping out of the illusion of self that feels wonderful. The tricky part is that we associate the person that we gave our ego up for as the source of the Love that we feel...and that isn't true. The Love described by highly spiritual people who find lasting states of happiness and Love for all mankind comes from within...understanding what we really are without passion. Pleasure does not equal happiness. Looks fade. Money is temporary. Self exists forever.

Nothing wrong with a human relationship, as long as we see it for what it is from the very start, and realize that ALL relationships end sometime or another.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**Mario** · 7 hours ago

"Accusations of prostitution have clouded Seeking Arrangement since its inception, and I'll admit there is a fine line. But my intentions are pure. Why must we define a lifestyle we don't understand as unsavory?"

Wow, Mr. Wade is stupid enough to give the reader a very good reason to conclude he is an unsavory character.

How much did Mr. Wade pay CNN to get this narcissistic drivel published on this otherwise fine news outlet?

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**cycleon** → Mario · 7 hours ago

Because CNN is not a "fine" news outlet, it's mostly secondhand drivel, unsubstantiated rumor and alarming headlines designed to instill fear. It is "the sky is falling" network.

2 ^ | v · Share ›

**octogator** · 7 hours ago

If you LOVE your wife/husband & children, the love changes -- from a hormonal lust for a pretty face connected to the correct hip to waist ratio -- to a desire to feed all of your energy and ability into loving, supporting, getting love from this family. I think the guy who wrote this piece is overly impressed with himself for being wealthy and he understands love less than your average person.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**Damien MacBayne** · 7 hours ago

Some "investment" advice based on my observations for the arrangement crowd. Scandinavian women seem to hold up really well into their 40s and 50s, make sure she does not smoke (HUGE age accelerant), as for guys, Italian guys seems to get better with age like Italian wine, look at DeNiro and Pachino they were both sex symbols well into their 50s.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**Guest** → Damien MacBayne · 7 hours ago

Yeah, but the point is...they are still 40 and 50 year old people... There's only so much lipstick you can stick on a pig....Just saying....

^ | v · Share ›

**Prevalent** → Guest · 7 hours ago

Hahaha, yeah, if the only thing you think with is your hard one.

What you "just say"? ... is basically suited for pimpled guys reading superhero comics.

^ | v · Share ›



Guest → Prevalent · 7 hours ago

Lol... How many woman in the 21century would really "stick by their man" when things get tough? Really?

^ | v · Share ›



Tomi Roshi → Damien MacBayne · 7 hours ago

And Keith Richards and Mick Jagger are still getting done in their 70's.

^ | v · Share ›



yeahright · 7 hours ago

It's probably true to a certain extent that money changes how relationships, or potential relationships, function. If you have money, you always need to be more cautious and question other people's motives. But I find that 'love only for poor people' is very dismissive and elitist. If you're rich, then find another rich mate and neither one needs to be overly cautious about the other person...and let love happen.

I think love and 'forever' relationships needs to be looked at in a separate sense. Since we live in a highly disposable world, relationships are just something else we dispose of quickly. In our parents and grandparents days, the 'forever' concept was much stronger because the emphasis was on making everything last. You gotta remember that our grandparents weren't looking for 'soul mates' back in the day. They just wanted someone they could get along with. Modern society has turned up the benchmarks for successful relationships way too high...as evidenced by the high divorce rate. It's still very possible for all people to find love, but it's more likely to not last as long as it did back in the day.

Part of it is that I think that the author is dismissing love as an excuse to not address his own insecurity issues. While I don't have his money, I have the author's profile as the shy, homely, nerdy guy. I've been married twice, my current marriage is still going strong at 11 years. So I don't accept his cop out.

1 ^ | v · Share ›



A_Reader55 · 7 hours ago

Is this person real? Is this what society is coming to? What a way to start the day.

1 ^ | v · Share ›



Brian · 7 hours ago

Nerd ;)

1 ^ | v · Share ›



DCdemos · 7 hours ago

I think this is fine. If a woman wants to be with a guy just for his money, isn't it better for the guy to know that up front...? At least they can set up a pre-nup or something. For the guys cheating on their wives... they were going to do it with or without the site.

1 ^ | v · Share ›



JoeySmoey → DCdemos · 7 hours ago

If she only wants his money, you think she will sign a pre-nup?

^ | v · Share ›



DCdemos → JoeySmoey · 7 hours ago

Of course she will. It doesn't mean that she still won't have access to the good life and lots of money. It just means that when she leaves, she doesn't get half of everything.

^ | v · Share ›



Edgar Holland → DCdemos · 7 hours ago

alot of judges have been negating prenups recently so chances are even a prenup may not save you anymore

^ | v · Share ›



DaGooz · 7 hours ago

Oh yes, society isn't superficial in the least. Ask any girl who get ignored when there's a Miss Beautiful around or the guys when a Joe Handsome is getting drooled over by all the girls.

Your potshots should be taken at current society, not the website.

1 ^ | v · Share ›



Guest · 7 hours ago

To the author of this article - I am 28, still haven't kissed anyone. Get over it.

1 ^ | v · Share ›



Pologize · 8 hours ago

So it's all about money?

1 ^ | v · Share ›



**gregharris25** · 8 hours ago

To each his own, do what makes you happy. People are so narrow-minded, just live and let live.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Scribbles** · 8 hours ago

Goes to show, you can't buy a personality, which is all it takes to fall in love. poor poor Brando

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Derek** · 8 hours ago

I don't understand what the negativity is against this approach or article. You have willing consensual adults exercising their freewill to do what they want to do. The guy gets a hot woman and the woman gets a better lifestyle. It is a win win.. so who are people to judge just because it does not fit into their small boxed brain idea of how life should be?

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**chico malo** · 8 hours ago

To all the people comparing this guy to Elliot Rodgers (guy who went nuts on the sorority at his university in Cali.), Elliot Rodgers clearly explained in his youtube videos that he had never even been kissed and he was 23.

The author of this article had his first kiss at 21, so there's a big difference between the two.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Mike Oxmall** · 8 hours ago

Why must we define a lifestyle we don't understand as unsavory?
I dont know, why dont you ask a pedophile.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**joelcairo** · 8 hours ago

The author has nailed it about modern relationships. One of the most clear-eyed statements on "love" that I've read in a mainstream organ. These conventional ideas of relationships are a trap, esp. for young women.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**KieranH** · 9 hours ago

Oh I can comment on this garbage, but not on real poignant stories where saying something could ruffle people's hair. CNN is weak.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**JohnnyMangoes** · 9 hours ago

Beta bucks, alpha f__s.

The girls when they're young sleep around with a whole lot of good looking / attractive (in this case white) dudes, then once they are too old / ugly to do that anymore they find some dweeb like this to marry for his money.

And then once the woman can't tolerate being around the unattractive men anymore, she divorces him and takes half his stuff. It's really awful. If it takes a man so long to find a woman who thinks he's attractive, then he's probably NEVER going to find a woman who thinks he's attractive. Money doesn't magically make a woman want to sleep with you as badly as she does an attractive man..... but it's this lie that women like "stability" that keeps society going with the help of the unattractive underclass of men.

It's a sad existence as a man, but it exists nonetheless.

We're animals after all.... the 80% of women being available for the 20% of attractive men is the rule; the other 80% of men are deceived into think just by being "nice" and having a good "job," that women will throw themselves at these men as hard as they throw themselves at the David Gandy's / Sean O'Prey / Jon Hamms of the world.

But it doesn't work like that. Sad.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Tomi Roshi** → JohnnyMangoes · 8 hours ago

It's been said many times in general women are attracted to three things, money, power or fame.

^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**JohnnyMangoes** → Tomi Roshi · 6 hours ago

They're attracted to attractive, tall men. But they will make an exception with a man has money, power, or fame.

1 ^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**Tomi Roshi** → JohnnyMangoes · 6 hours ago

I was going to say eight inch personality but tall and handsome will do.

^ | v · [Share](#) ›

**RichieBelding2** · 9 hours ago

" Believe it or not, my first kiss didn't happen until I was 21 years old."

This sentence made me laugh. It also made me stop reading any further, I've got no interest in reading anything by someone who couldn't even make it to the batters box until age 21.

What a nerd.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**otterhead** → **RichieBelding2** · 9 hours ago

You were a bully in school, weren't you? I bet you liked to punch kids with glasses for fun.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**RichieBelding2** → **otterhead** · 9 hours ago

Yeah I was a big time jock, captain of the football team, dated the head cheerleader, and if I found out you used the internet or something nerdy like that I would pants you in gym class.

I was so cool.

^ | v · Share ›

**otterhead** → **RichieBelding2** · 9 hours ago

Nailed it! *fist pump*

2 ^ | v · Share ›

**Peeping Tom** · a day ago

Which fine lady wants to smother me with your love? ;-)

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**Nannette LaRee Hernandez** · 5 hours ago

Women and men are BRAIN SEX DIFFERENT, so any realistic negotiation is often an improbability, furthermore, I strongly disagree with Wade's position that love was "invented by poor people" when throughout history, wealthy and powerful men and women have given-up everything for love. True love is the rare sugar of life, and most people only find the lusty sugar-substitute. Men will always value sex over love, because sex is more tangible to the male brain. Women will always value love over sex, because love is more tangible to the female heart. Until men and women can negotiate sex and love on a mature and level playing field, where both sides feel they've scored instead of each person feeling they've been played, relations between men and women will continue to rot---no matter how many dating websites Wade creates.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**Victor** → **Nannette LaRee Hernandez** · 4 hours ago

"Men will always value sex over love, because sex is more tangible to the male brain."

FYI - Studies have shown the majority of men (over 90%) cheat because of an emotional/personal disconnect with their wife, not because of sex. You are wrong.

Basically, what you just said in that quote is feminist nonsense.

2 ^ | v · Share ›

**shell** → **Victor** · 4 hours ago

Then why are they always "sorry" to the wife and beg for forgiveness when they are caught and actually want to stay married? Obviously they weren't feeling THAT much emotional/personal disconnect. More like want their cake and eat it too.

2 ^ | v · Share ›

**Steve** · 6 hours ago

This is not anything new. If you look at many cultures, choosing a spouse has little to do with "love". That is romanticized western idealism that has resulted in a horrible success rate. As soon as the thrill is gone, too many pack up and go searching for the next new thrill.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**iwcforsure** → **Steve** · 6 hours ago

Perhaps the 'horrible success rate' in the 'western' world is due to the fact that divorce is against the law in many cultures.

2 ^ | v · Share ›

**Steve** → **iwcforsure** · 5 hours ago

Perhaps the horrible success rate in our country has nothing whatsoever to do with the laws of other countries. Perhaps the old world grandmother advising to "marry the doctor" knows something. Maybe she knows that 15 years down the road that 21 year old under achieving greek god you are after may likely be bald, fat, and still under achieving. That someday you too may

not look quite the same and that a relationship based upon things like being a good provider, a good companion, from a good family etc will outlast one based upon infatuation.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



iwcforsure → Steve • 5 hours ago

Perhaps. However, I wasn't attempting to argue - it was just a thought. There is truth to my statement, though. You think all Indian and Saudi wives are as happy as could be and wouldn't leave if they had the opportunity?

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Steve → iwcforsure • 5 hours ago

Of course not. In reality nobody is as happy as they can be, that is part of life. No matter what the basis was for the relationship, there will be failures. However, the expectation that one will always be happy is not realistic either. This leads to a lot of problems in our society because then people equate the first signs of unhappiness with failure.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



CrazyLiberals → iwcforsure • 5 hours ago

India is a big place, but much of the lower class there the women think it's ok for husband to be drunk and beat her every day.

^ | v • Share ›



Steve → CrazyLiberals • 5 hours ago

Money doesn't make a wife beating drunk any more attractive. However, money and success make a nice, considerate, thoughtful, though homely and unattractive guy, a lot more attractive.

^ | v • Share ›



scarpen8 → Steve • 6 hours ago

So it goes in cultures that promote self serving desire above all else.

^ | v • Share ›



Pantymán • 6 hours ago

no matter what you think, men always pay for sex one way or another

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → Pantyman • 6 hours ago

More tripe from the semi-educated.

^ | v • Share ›



Pantymán → Prevalent • 6 hours ago

don't hate the truth

^ | v • Share ›



Banana Mango → Pantyman • 6 hours ago

My wife pays me for it.

^ | v • Share ›



Pantymán → Banana Mango • 6 hours ago

i'm sure, she told me you're only 3 inches erect

^ | v • Share ›



Banana Mango → Pantyman • 6 hours ago

You talk about my thing a lot? Why?

^ | v • Share ›



Pantymán → Banana Mango • 6 hours ago

because your wife constantly tells me how small you are

^ | v • Share ›



Skay • 7 hours ago

So love is for poor people gets comments but Obama tarnishing his legacy doesn't?? CNN does everything it can to protect Lord Obama.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Rush Limburger → Skay • 7 hours ago

Must you get whine all over our nice clothes?

Share ›

^ | v .



Skay → Rush Limburger · 6 hours ago

Try and be a little more creative than that...

^ | v . Share



Edgar Holland → Skay · 7 hours ago

its because LZ Granderson is too Grand for your comments so he doesnt allow them anymore

^ | v . Share



Prevalent → Skay · 7 hours ago

Skay, be an arsewhole at home. Keep it for people who care.

^ | v . Share



Charles Edward Brown · 7 hours ago

You get what you pay for.

1 ^ | v . Share



Guest · 7 hours ago

Finding a mate is a lot like dealing with an exotic sports car....

It's far cheaper to lease/short term rent than it is to own....

Why? Because even though you can afford to own outright, you'll find that by short term leasing/renting an expensive sports car....

- 1) You always have the newest, youngest, and best looking models
- 2) The newest models have not been used, and feels new, firm, tight, especially around the corners.
- 3) Being new, you can screw around with it as much as you want, and for the first few years, everything still looks and feels new and firm.
- 4) After a few years, when it starts to show it's age, things start to sag and fall apart, you can simply return it and take out a lease/rent the next newer model, that will be considerably better looking, better performing, and firmer/tighter then the one you just got rid of
- 5)...And you don't need to deal with all the unscheduled,unpredictable high maintenance that normally one would have to deal with if you enter into a long term commitment after the warranty period has expired. You let someone else who is foolish enough to buy "pre-owned" have to deal with all the maintenance costs....Afterall, almost all of them significantly depreciate with old age...

So you see, like many many other things in life...

It's cheaper to rent than to own.

1 ^ | v . Share



Prevalent → Guest · 7 hours ago

Spoken like a not-too-interesting guy of about age 25. Interesting women aren't looking for boys like you.

^ | v . Share



Guest → Prevalent · 7 hours ago

Actually, not true. Lots' s hot women look for people with money...

1 ^ | v . Share



Prevalent → Guest · 7 hours ago

Yes, but the smart ones look for money + other things. Such as taste, honesty, spirituality, etc.

^ | v . Share



Tomi Roshi → Prevalent · 7 hours ago

Excuse me only older folks over 50 look for taste, honesty and spirituality everyone else is looking to get laid.

^ | v . Share



Prevalent → Tomi Roshi · 6 hours ago

Not being rude Tomi, but that's about how I felt before I was 20. In my 20s I began to question my assumptions. And now I see those assumptions were backward concepts taken from Playboy Advisor, rather than reality.

^ | v . Share



Tomi Roshi → Prevalent · 6 hours ago

Clarify, what concepts were taken from Playboy Advisor? What's real for you today?

^ | v . Share



Prevalent → Tomi Roshi · 6 hours ago

I spent a couple years in the Second Life virtual world. Those are people who come with serious relationship problems they want to resolve. I highly recommend it.

^ | v • Share ›



Tomi Rosh → Prevalent • 6 hours ago

That's priceless seeking relationship advice in a virtual world.

^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → Tomi Rosh • 6 hours ago

Not "priceless", but rather far ahead of the trend. You might want to get a clue, little arsewhole.

A substantial percent of permanent relationships now start online. And virtual worlds have a high rate of success.

^ | v • Share ›



Derek → Guest • 7 hours ago

A comic in England, Alf Garnet did a routine on this many years ago on the same idea.. A mans approach to women should be the same as his approach to cars.

^ | v • Share ›



Sheik Yerbouti • 8 hours ago

A good marriage will survive having to live in a homeless shelter if need be. Money doesn't buy love or happiness. It only buys security. For some, that's enough.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



fasdfasdfd → Sheik Yerbouti • 5 hours ago

For 99.9999999% that is enough.

^ | v • Share ›



Wu Lala • 18 hours ago

If love doesn't exist, I feel sorry for you.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



CrazyLiberals • 6 hours ago

chicks on seekingarrangments are prozzies

^ | v • Share ›



Earthling3 • 6 hours ago

Very interesting philosophical approach to relationships. There's a Ph.D. thesis in there for someone.

^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → Earthling3 • 6 hours ago

I often point out PhD opportunities. I'm still waiting for someone to send me a draft of their thesis. Sigh.

^ | v • Share ›



Trollbooth • 6 hours ago

If she loves you, she'll nag incessantly to make you feel wanted.

^ | v • Share ›



Pantymen • 6 hours ago

women these days just sleep with any guy with a big c*ck or bank acct. i'm sick of all these sl*ts

^ | v • Share ›



DeadPool → Pantyman • 6 hours ago

I bet there is a sloppy fat chick somewhere that you could date, but you find her "too unattractive" to bother with.

^ | v • Share ›



Pantymen → Deadpool • 6 hours ago

you're right

^ | v • Share ›



Trollbooth • 7 hours ago

Kim + Kanye 4ever!

^ | v • Share ›



Trollbooth • 7 hours ago

Bill and Hillary are in love.

^ | v • Share ›



Guest → Trollbooth • 7 hours ago

Bill loves BJ's and cigars

^ | v • Share ›



Pantyman • 7 hours ago

women are money grubbing size queens

^ | v • Share ›



Pantyman • 7 hours ago

happy life=no wife

^ | v • Share ›



terry → Pantyman • 7 hours ago

FUNNY!

^ | v • Share ›



JoeySmoey → Pantyman • 7 hours ago

Only to someone who cannot get a wife or got the wrong one.

^ | v • Share ›



Pantyman → JoeySmoey • 7 hours ago

i got the wrong one and so do most men i know

1 ^ | v • Share ›



terry → Pantyman • 7 hours ago

Think she would be interested in Mr.MIT? Could save you a bundle that way!

^ | v • Share ›



Guest • 7 hours ago

This was posted on craigslist about one person trying to find a rich sugar daddy....

(.....Response by a guy is in the next section....Classic)

What am I doing wrong?

Okay, I'm tired of beating around the bush. I'm a beautiful (spectacularly beautiful) 25 year old girl. I'm articulate and classy. I'm not from New York. I'm looking to get married to a guy who makes at least half a million a year. I know how that sounds, but keep in mind that a million a year is middle class in New York City, so I don't think I'm overreaching at all.

Are there any guys who make 500K or more on this board? Any wives? Could you send me some tips? I dated a business man who makes average around 200 - 250. But that's where I seem to hit a roadblock. 250,000 won't get me to central park west. I know a woman in my yoga class who was married to an investment banker and lives in Tribeca, and she's not as pretty as I am, nor is she a great genius. So what is she doing right? How do I get to her level?

Here are my questions specifically:

- Where do you single rich men hang out? Give me specifics — bars, restaurants, gyms

- What are you looking for in a mate? Be honest guys, you won't hurt my feelings

see more

^ | v • Share ›



Guest → Guest • 7 hours ago

...THE ANSWER

Dear Pers-431649184:

I read your posting with great interest and have thought meaningfully about your dilemma. I offer the following analysis of your predicament.

Firstly, I'm not wasting your time, I qualify as a guy who fits your bill; that is I make more than \$500K per year. That said here's how I see it.

Your offer, from the prospective of a guy like me, is plain and simple a crappy business deal. Here's why. Cutting through all the B.S., what you suggest is a simple trade: you bring your looks to the party and I bring my money. Fine, simple. But here's the rub, your looks

will fade and my money will likely continue into perpetuity... in fact, it is very likely that my income increases but it is an absolute certainty that you won't be getting any more beautiful!

So, in economic terms you are a depreciating asset and I am an earning asset. Not only are you a depreciating asset, your depreciation accelerates! Let me explain, you're 25 now and will likely stay pretty hot for the next 5 years, but less so each year. Then the fade begins in earnest. By 35 stick a fork in you!

So in Wall Street terms, we would call you a trading position, not a buy and hold, hence the sub... marriage. It doesn't make good...

[see more](#)

7 ^ | v • Share ›



shell → Guest • 7 hours ago

Love this, thanks for posting!

1 ^ | v • Share ›



wwoman → Guest • 7 hours ago

Clever, but maintaining a high salary is no more guaranteed than a woman maintaining her looks.

^ | v • Share ›



FactsRFun → wwoman • 7 hours ago

Guaranteed? No, just far more likely. Aging is inevitable, but the chance of someone as skilled at making >500k a year probably will be able to do so for a long time. And even if they can't save the salary job, they certainly will grow an asset base that will grow exponentially through compounding interest.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



wwoman → FactsRFun • 7 hours ago

Aging doesn't necessarily mean uglier, unless you are one of those extremely shallow people who believe only 25 year olds are beautiful. People can be beautiful at any age.

And plenty of people make it big then crash.

^ | v • Share ›



FactsRFun → wwoman • 6 hours ago

Extremely shallow people? Hate to break it to you bud, but that is the majority of people. While not so drastic in women, the age that men tend to find "the most attractive" stays relatively younger as that man ages. And women tend to find men slightly older than themselves the most attractive until they reach a certain age and then it switches.

Is this true for everyone on an individual basis? Absolutely not, everyone has their own tastes and preferences. And just as a clarification, I'm really only referring to physical beauty here and not overall beauty which is much more complicated and age-blind.

And yes plenty of people make it big and then crash. But when you look at the population as a whole, people who acquire large amounts of wealth early in their career tend to acquire even more wealth as they are able to establish a solid asset base at a young age.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



wwoman → FactsRFun • 5 hours ago

But I'm betting that if you asked these men who find 25 year olds "most attractive" if they thought that 30 or 40 year olds could be attractive as well, they would agree. So yes, anyone who thinks that ONLY 25 year olds are attractive are very shallow.

^ | v • Share ›



Damien MacBayne → wwoman • 7 hours ago

Not so, it is a fact that people tend to earn more into their 40s and 50s. while it is a fact that looks quickly diminish after 30-35. Not to mention if this guy invests and saves wisely, his net worth will grow and grow.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



wwoman → Damien MacBayne • 7 hours ago

Aren't you the guy who proclaimed above that his wife gets more beautiful everyday?? Is she not over 35 yet?

^ | v • Share ›



Prevalent → wwoman • 7 hours ago

!?!? Not true at all! A wealthy person has access to MUCH better food, environment, and advice.

Very poor people are just living day-to-day, on whatever they can get!

^ | v • Share ›



Spancker • 4 hours ago

From now on, i'm gonna start charging for my "services." Ladies, line up and have your credit card ready. There is no handling fee.

^ | v • Share ›



Gregg Abbott loves corruption • 5 hours ago

At least he admits his page is a fraud.

^ | v • Share ›



pprty • 5 hours ago

Does he think he will live forever? Who will be there for him when he's 72? Who will share the laughs over treasured memories? Who will be there for him when he can't remember where he's going?

^ | v • Share ›



TrampBird • 5 hours ago

What's all this love stuff about any way!!! Like them, lick them, leave them !!!!

^ | v • Share ›



octogator → **TrampBird** • 5 hours ago

Or love them, impregnate them, lose track of yourself as an ego-identity and begin to LOVE your family as yourself. It is transcendent.

^ | v • Share ›



TrampBird → **octogator** • 5 hours ago

But that might imply you need to settle down and stay for the long haul!!!! IDK??? Is it really worth it???

^ | v • Share ›



octogator → **TrampBird** • 4 hours ago

I would never tell someone else that they should buy my rigmarole. But it works for me. I was raised in one of those nice loving families where we spoke kindly to eachother and said "I love you" and stuff like that. So i feel nourished by existing within and for my little family unit. Works for me, but I'm willing to hear it being diagnosed. I don't buy this writers explanation. He doesn't account for things I seem to experience that he doesn't.

^ | v • Share ›



TrampBird • 6 hours ago

Its way too easy to get hitched, and way too difficult to get out of it. It should be the other way around. Fight to get hitched, costs a fortune and it takes years to get there... Then if it dont work out so well after all just walk away!!!

^ | v • Share ›



octogator • 6 hours ago

I approached love kinda opposite than this guy. I was obsessed with love. I loved and lost and cared not a bit about money. Then love got so big and overwhelming that I got married and had kids. Love lead me to care more about wealth. My wealth is not as impressive as that of as this dating website mogul but I think I'm getting something out of love that this guy has completely missed.

^ | v • Share ›



Pantyman • 6 hours ago

i'm married to jesus, he's all i need

^ | v • Share ›



more of the same... • 6 hours ago

who cares. good for you.

^ | v • Share ›



loverpoint • 6 hours ago

PREARRANGED MARRIAGE SITE : Brandons mother would have loved this kind of site because she could have picked out his bride when she was 13 years old and after he just got his drivers license .

Prearranged marriages have been going on in the USA forever, and no one thinks of it as child abuse . Why shouldn't all parents be able to sell their daughter to the highest bidder ?

^ | v • Share ›



Pantyman • 6 hours ago

i've decided to get women all together. i have 2 hands, why not use them. they don't talk back or ask for money, or complain i'm not big enough. ok, the left one does but i've still got righty

^ | v • Share ›

**GimmeABreak** → Pantyman · 6 hours ago

Based on your grade school childish comments... I don't think you decided that. I think that you had no choice but to use your hands.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**Pantyman** → GimmeABreak · 6 hours ago

i'm married so that's all i get unless i want to slip my c*ck into my wife's sandpaper like hole

^ | v · Share ›

**GimmeABreak** → Pantyman · 6 hours ago

Well "sandpaper like hole" CAN be caused by a lack of excitement or interest in her partner. And again based on your grade school childish comments... I can see that this must be the case with you and your wife.

^ | v · Share ›

**Tomi Roshi** → GimmeABreak · 6 hours ago

Hardly, most postmenopausal women suffer from lack of libido and vaginal atrophy that have nothing to do with attraction. Nature plays a cruel joke on women it gives them desire in youth and dries them up like autumn leaves after 50.

^ | v · Share ›

**GimmeABreak** → Tomi Roshi · 6 hours ago

One... we have no idea how old @Pantyman or his wife is. Two... I used the word "CAN" because while your explanation is accurate so is mine.

And judging from the grade school childish comments @Pantyman continues to make... I will go with my explanation.

^ | v · Share ›

**LynneM517** → Tomi Roshi · 6 hours ago

actually women hit their sexual peak as they approach middle age. It's men who hit their prime crazy early (18-21)

^ | v · Share ›

**Tomi Roshi** → LynneM517 · 6 hours ago

The men I know are always sexually primed. I've never heard a man boast about being sexually celibate for years while women will wear it like a badge of honor. By women in middle age you mean in their forties.

^ | v · Share ›

**Pantyman** → LynneM517 · 6 hours ago

i know, i missed my prime and thus have never had good sex

^ | v · Share ›

**LynneM517** → Pantyman · 6 hours ago

not what it means - biologically speaking, men are at their peak of sexual desire and "constant readiness" in terms of hormone levels, etc. Women hit theirs between 35 and 40

^ | v · Share ›

**Tomi Roshi** → Pantyman · 6 hours ago

Isn't that group sex?

^ | v · Share ›

**Pantyman** → Tomi Roshi · 6 hours ago

only if i give myself a reach around

^ | v · Share ›

**Pantyman** → Pantyman · 6 hours ago

give up not get

^ | v · Share ›

**VS** · 6 hours ago

Comments are open! Woot woot!

Anyway, love is real!! I married my first girlfriend, and I was her first boyfriend. We've been together for 2 years and have a daughter. Beautiful blessed family. So yeah, the guy who says love doesn't exist...I'm sorry but you're wrong.

^ | v · Share ›

**Edgar Holland** → VS · 6 hours ago

yea if its not about women race or honorable leader Obama (blessed be his name!) then alternative opinions are permitted

^ | v • Share ›



JediKurac • 6 hours ago

The arrangement he is describing sounds like paying the same prostitute over and over and over again, for long periods of time. A man has to be pretty delusional to accept that.

^ | v • Share ›



loverpoint → JediKurac • 6 hours ago

That's exactly what it is . But if this site had been developed by a woman , they would call her a Madam , and the women would be escorts (Wink, Wink) . Charley Sheen used to go to a Madam called Heidi Fleiss for the same thing this site is providing and she went to jail .

1 ^ | v • Share ›



loverpoint • 6 hours ago

This site is nothing more than a PIMP for prostitutes . Frankly I believe prostitution should be legalized and if it was then Brandon would have never had to develop this site. You can call it dating if you want , but when you start talking about money and the females it can buy like Brandon Wade does , then lets face it, it is prostitution.

^ | v • Share ›



Banana Mango • 6 hours ago

This is why gay marriage should be illegal. There is no love in those relationships. They are just after one thing and you know what that one thing is.

^ | v • Share ›



per se → Banana Mango • 6 hours ago

Prove it.

2 ^ | v • Share ›



Banana Mango → per se • 6 hours ago

All my gay friends told me.

^ | v • Share ›



per se → Banana Mango • 6 hours ago

Come on. Try harder.

1 ^ | v • Share ›



DeadPool → per se • 6 hours ago

He didn't care deeply for the dude he was "experimenting with" in college.

^ | v • Share ›



Edgar Holland → Banana Mango • 6 hours ago

hairy chest and pigeon breast?

^ | v • Share ›



Edgar Holland → Edgar Holland • 6 hours ago

and lemon zest

^ | v • Share ›



Pantyman • 6 hours ago

women just want a big c*ck

^ | v • Share ›



DeadPool → Pantyman • 6 hours ago

Oh thank god. I still have a chance to meet a woman then.

^ | v • Share ›



Trollbooth • 6 hours ago

If you're a girl whose fat, you might as well spike your hair and go "stud:, no human man will want you.

^ | v • Share ›



billythekid2012 → Trollbooth • 6 hours ago

Sorry you actually get a runner up award for this comment as well! HAHHAHAH

^ | v • Share ›

**per se** → Trollbooth · 6 hours ago

Yes, because men are so picky. Come on.

^ | v · Share ›

**DeadPool** → per se · 6 hours ago

Well there are those men and women who like to say that "there are no (men or women) out there at all, all the good ones are taken!"

What they fail to realize is, they're searching for a mate far more attractive and successful than they are.

Compete within your league and reach success in dating, people.

^ | v · Share ›

**Cmurray** → per se · 6 hours ago

lol.

^ | v · Share ›

**Trollbooth** · 7 hours ago

I love lamp.

^ | v · Share ›

**Banana Mango** · 7 hours ago

Women are not very intelligent. So even if you don't love her, she will still believe you if you say it. I like to call up my wife and tell her that I lover her while I'm out with another woman. This is how I roll.

^ | v · Share ›

**Trollbooth** · 7 hours ago

I finally found someone I can love, a good clean love, without utensils.

^ | v · Share ›

**saib** · 7 hours ago

seems to me he is as ugly on the inside as he is on the outside. This is exactly what a bitter and resentful person would write... "Love is a concept invented by poor people"... tell that to Buffett, Gates, Brin, Page, Bezos, Zuckerberg, Dell... etc.

There is only one outcome when you need to use your wealth and success for acquaintanceship... losing half your wealth and success. You cannot mask your failures and insecurities by lying to yourself.

"If we are allowed to admit that, then think of the possibilities. Traditional relationships are based on possessiveness and selfishness." -

Maybe if he'd admit and own his insecurities someone would actually love him. He can't find love because he can't love himself. Poor guy, I actually feel for him.

^ | v · Share ›

**Guest** → saib · 7 hours ago

...What just because he has an opinion, you think he is "ugly" inside and out? Seems like you are a little judgmental there, aren't you

^ | v · Share ›

**saib** → Guest · 7 hours ago

He admitted in the article he was ugly, "While attractive girls were being wooed away by handsome men my age, there I stood, the lonely geek"... and his content admitted his internal ugliness.

^ | v · Share ›

**octogator** → Guest · 7 hours ago

Well, the guy has a gross opinion about love and he's really impressed with himself for being wealthy. I feel oddly compelled to judge him too. He speaks against the beautiful nature of love.

^ | v · Share ›

**saib** → octogator · 7 hours ago

writing an opinion article, which, he PAID CNN to write, because its just really an advertisement, is reason enough to be judged.

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**Butter Pops** · 7 hours ago

This clown finds "love" on the floor of the GOP national convention.

^ | v · Share ›

**Trollbooth** · 7 hours ago



Shot through the heart, and you're to blame, honey you give love, a bad name!

^ | v • Share ›



Guest • 7 hours ago

Marriage should never be used without the two most important accompanying words.
Prenuptial agreement...

^ | v • Share ›



alexDW → Guest • 7 hours ago

Or even better idea, no marriage. Give the gold digger just the food and shelter and nothing else.

^ | v • Share ›



Banana Mango • 7 hours ago

In my house I tell my wife that I don't love her and reminds her of this when I feel she is too happy. This is how I roll.

^ | v • Share ›



JoeySmoey → Banana Mango • 7 hours ago

Is elementary school out today or did you stay home sick?

1 ^ | v • Share ›



Guest • 7 hours ago

Here's one other reason not to get married. Taxes...

Single filers...Your excessive taxes from being rich kick in after you AGI is \$200k or more.

Joint/married filers. Your excessive taxes from being "rich" kick in after your joint AGI is \$250k or more....

So even our tax laws are sexist...

^ | v • Share ›



JoeySmoey → Guest • 7 hours ago

Agree, that tax laws were written assuming the wife would stay home and take care of the kids and not have a real career also. Since I am a one income family it does work for me though.

^ | v • Share ›



Banana Mango • 7 hours ago

Love is something women invented to keep their men imprisoned. Men should be allowed to venture outside of their marriage but nooo, the jealous women will not allow this.

^ | v • Share ›



JoeySmoey → Banana Mango • 7 hours ago

So I would assume you are also OK with your wife stepping out with other men?

^ | v • Share ›



Banana Mango → JoeySmoey • 7 hours ago

Women were designed to nurture and to take care of their man and children. So they are not allowed.

^ | v • Share ›



JoeySmoey → Banana Mango • 7 hours ago

You should move to the middle east and praise Alah not Jesus

1 ^ | v • Share ›



billythekid2012 → JoeySmoey • 6 hours ago

Allah and Jesus technically are the same person

^ | v • Share ›



Butter Pops → Banana Mango • 7 hours ago

...and your moniker would say.....?

^ | v • Share ›



Banana Mango → Butter Pops • 7 hours ago

"right on!"

^ | v • Share ›



Butter Pops • 7 hours ago

 Gimme an ..L
 Gimme an ..O
 Gimme a ...S
 Gimme an ..E
 Gimme an ..R
 .
What do ya got..?
 .
Re-PUBLICAN
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Guest** → **Butter Pops** • 7 hours ago
 Didn't Holder just resign? Now that's a real loser.lol.
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Butter Pops** → **Guest** • 7 hours ago
 You buy love too.....dontha...?
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Guest** → **Butter Pops** • 7 hours ago
 Well, better to be a poor loveless democrat like you that no one wants. heh heh.
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Butter Pops** • 7 hours ago
Wow,...a "dating" site based on GOP experiences and lack of caring.....
 .
Sounds PERFECT for the far far right.
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **GC** • 7 hours ago
 LOL bitter because he has girl trouble! These men are so soft these days!
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Guest** • 7 hours ago
 The problem with the entire notion of finding a rich/smart woman...Is...Yes, they do exist....But most of them are looking for someone smarter and richer then themselves....Afterall, they aren't dumb and wouldn't downgrade....
 So there's the rub and catch 22...
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **MostWonderful** • 7 hours ago
 Love should be delivered like the mail so that we can spend our precious time on more productive and noble persuits.
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **octogator** → **MostWonderful** • 7 hours ago
 Love is productive and noble. Don't listen to the writer of this piece. Making money preying on humans' desire for love doesn't make him an expert.
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Edgar Holland** • 7 hours ago
 women are nice and all but my hand doesn't cuss me out when I play video games.
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Damien MacBayne** → **Edgar Holland** • 7 hours ago
 But...can you be with your "girlfriend" AND play video games at the same time? Didnt think so. My wife will give me a BJ while I play COD because she loves me and knows I can multi-task. JK, I dont play COD, video games are for kids, but she will oblige me while I am watching football games.
 ^ | v • Share ›

 **Edgar Holland** → **Damien MacBayne** • 7 hours ago
 sounds nice.. i'm a video game developer so i'm kinda f***** in all ways but one..
 ^ | v • Share ›

**Prevalent** → Edgar Holland · 7 hours ago

Ahem. You might wanna try different video games?

^ | v · Share ›

**loverpoint** · 7 hours ago

Brandon Wade :

Mommas Boy , let me guess Momma never let Brandon go out and play , Brandon will never find a woman to to take his mothers place . Ask Brandon how he feels about his mother and I'll bet he says he loves her. But he does not believe he can find love with other women. - Sad Brandon chose the life of a Nerd , confined to his bedroom with his only friends being those at chat sites . - Creepy Brandon has fulfilled his mothers dream , he is financially successful and he did so by filling a void in his life , inability to communicate with women in public, he will never love any woman more than his mother .

^ | v · Share ›

**Prevalent** → loverpoint · 7 hours ago

Harsh, harsh. :)

^ | v · Share ›

**loverpoint** → Prevalent · 7 hours ago

Humans are social animals , Brandons mother should have had Brandon become more involved in social events at school and outside of school .

There are many ways to meet women , Brandon is to lazy to do so and is afraid of rejection.

^ | v · Share ›

**Prevalent** → loverpoint · 7 hours ago

I agree, but the chances are, those are only some of his problems. Add the factors you mention to some persistent mental disorder from the DSM ... and voila! ... a seriously anti-social personality.

^ | v · Share ›

**John Henry Owen** · 7 hours ago

Unfortunately, so is unprotected sex.

^ | v · Share ›

**Pantyman** · 7 hours ago

women just want a big bank account or a big "c@ck"

^ | v · Share ›

**Guest** · 7 hours ago

If love did really exist and does really conquer all, then so many americans wouldn't be getting divorced or screwing each other over something as dumb as money...

^ | v · Share ›

**terry** → Guest · 7 hours ago

well he defined it as shivers down your spine, OH YA....that exists!NOT my words! Love has about 6 billion definitions, his was just one!

1 ^ | v · Share ›

**McHammBohn** → Guest · 7 hours ago

Nice attempt at legalism. Whether you believe in the Judeo-Christian God or not, the story of Genesis exists and in that story Eve made the same mistake you just made.

Sneaking that "and does conquer all" isn't cool in the context of this article and headline.

^ | v · Share ›

**Guest** · 7 hours ago

I don't know what love feels like, but I sure know what sex feels like.

^ | v · Share ›

**octogator** · 7 hours ago

"Love is a concept invented by poor people,"

What? Love is a concept invented by the biological fact that our species has males and females. Of course homosexuals can experience love. But reproduction is the reason for all this love biz. Before the concept of wealthy or poor came along, there was love. Everybody was poor so I guess this guy's meaningless statement is kinda accurate by accident.

^ | v · Share ›



**fasdfasdfd** → octogator • 5 hours ago

Read a history book. Love in the realm of marriage is a new concept. Reproduction was a result of marriage, that is a binding contract between man and woman so that back in the day there were hardly any bastards running around having to be reared by the village. It provided the woman, and more importantly, the woman's FAMILY (her parents and siblings) an agreement that she and her offspring would be provided for and not abandoned.

Thus, marriage was a business contract that lead to procreating. Whenever it was done for love, heartache soon followed. Witness today.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**ThatGuy** • 7 hours ago

What? Women are attracted to wealth? Shocked.

^ | v • Share ›

**BigAI** • 7 hours ago

"Little girls grow up believing they will find Mr. Right who is wealthy, successful and handsome." yep too true

^ | v • Share ›

**Prevalent** → BigAI • 7 hours ago

Yes, it is true. And it's been happening for a long time. Our modern society is taught that unless you are young, attractive, sleek and a good shopper ... love and other good things won't happen for you.

What a crock. Lolol.

^ | v • Share ›

**secesionista** • 8 hours ago

love is gay

^ | v • Share ›

**Seyedibar** • 8 hours ago

Love doesn't exist, and people aren't monogamous. But just like the freedom we give to religion, if you want to fool yourself with lies, it's your right as an American to live a deluded existence..

^ | v • Share ›

**UMBA** • 8 hours ago

Brandon Wade: The single purpose of life is to love. It is the common thread that runs through each of us.

^ | v • Share ›

**shell** • 8 hours ago

Does anyone not remember that CNN story last year of this "type" of dating led to a married, father of 5 death? He used one of these "sites" and found his mistress...he was "her sugar daddy" until she killed him. When you ask or seek such shallowness, then you end up with the consequences.

^ | v • Share ›

**Derek** → shell • 8 hours ago

.. and every day on CNN there is a story about someone in a normal relationship killing their spouse or girl/boy friend who is not a sugar daddy... and all the stories of women being abused who are not sugar babies.

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**shell** → Derek • 8 hours ago

and THANK YOU for making my point....like I said...he's TRYING to make this way of dating as more meeting one's needs, having the control of going after what you really want.....and the fact is...you can't box this anymore than you can box any other type of relationship....AMEN!

^ | v • Share ›

**Derek** → shell • 8 hours ago

Right, you can't.. but you say someone was once killed doing this is not a valid argument considering how many woman are killed every year by their spouse.

Regardless.. my point is that in our freedom loving country, if two consenting adults want to do this then fine.. good luck to them.

I personally do not feel he was trying to take a moral high ground above normal relationships, but I might have missed something if he did..

1 ^ | v • Share ›

**shell** → Derek · 8 hours ago

And I don't either...what two people do is their own business.....all I was trying to say from the get go is that you get what you ask for....if you want a relationship based on shallowness then don't complain, and certainly don't defend yourself. This Bozo didn't even pick his wife from a site....guess he isn't THAT dumb after all. He claims he wants to give the opportunity for men and women both to find what they think they deserve. It is their right...but don't be writing no article trying to defend that society should be accepting of it because there's nothing "wrong" with it...all while he's getting rich having these sites...let's call it what it is...he's an internet pimp...PERIOD. If you're gonna write an article about something be freakin HONEST in it. To say we as a society should be "accepting" of this type of relationship is a basically saying...it's OK to buy sex from the woman you who would normally NEVER look your way and to the women he says....you're so beautiful you deserve to have a wealthy man "pay your way in life".....this is nothing new...it's as old as time....but call it what it really is.

^ | v · [Share](#)

**FactsRFun** → shell · 7 hours ago

Man, your posts are confusing. At first what two consenting adults do is their own business. Next you go on a diatribe saying that society should not be accepting this type of lifestyle. Then you continue to go on and critique people that engage in this lifestyle insinuating that it is "wrong" and refering to the creator of the site as a pimp.

So what is it? Is it nobody's business except the two (or more) people involved? Or is it an unacceptable lifestyle?

^ | v · [Share](#)

**shell** → FactsRFun · 7 hours ago

Look here, I wasn't the one who willing posted an article for all to read on CNN causing the debate. What two people do IS their own business, but when you want to publicize the defense of "that lifestyle" don't complain when you get backlash. He posted an article and I made my opinion about it. Whether you agree or not, not the point. I never said once that this society shouldn't be accepting of this lifestyle...what I did say is you get what you seek and if you seek such shallowness then don't complain of the consequences. This type of arrangement is as old as time. Nothing new, but don't dare come out and say to ME in YOUR article that I should be 'accepting of it'....the new modern dating? I will never ever approve of people seeking out other people for such shallow reasons...our world is warped enough....the downfall of society of a whole is because of this ME ME ME attitude of what people think they deserve...by any means they can get it..even if it means selling your soul. If that's what one wants, so be it...but I for one will never be one of "them".

ETA....now...before I confuse you...just because I'm not accepting of this lifestyle I DO resent someone writing an article saying I should accept it. It's not the same thing...when two people do what they want how they want, it doesn't affect me, I don't care. But don't tell me I need to accept it because this author wants to tell ME that there's nothing wrong with it. What people do IS their own business...but don't make it mine when you publicize it trying to somehow defend it.

^ | v · [Share](#)

**FactsRFun** → shell · 5 hours ago

See, you still are contradicting yourself. You keep claiming that this is none of your business, aka you have no right to pass judgement on people who engage in this type of relationship. Yet here you are, passing judgement. Now you claim your excuse is that someone wrote an article defending this type of relationship so then it becomes your business. Man, talk about that "ME ME ME" attitude you refered to!

Speaking of that entitled attitude...how do you think we as a species progressed and thrived? Sure, a lot of it had to due with our highly developed brain and a lot of luck. But we also have, like most living creatures, a strong desire of self-preservation. Do you really think our ancient ancestors survived by seeking out loving companionships not based in shallow reasons? The answer is obviously no. Our ancestors survived by choosing mates based on genetically favorable qualities such as size, strength, beauty (proxy for health), and ability to provide. Really, that is all that Wade is getting at. Why adhere to society's standards and expectations of a lifelong partnership if you can maximize your well-being and happiness in other ways?

^ | v · [Share](#)

**shell** → FactsRFun · 5 hours ago

Do you HAVE ANY IDEA how many woman and children are stolen off the streets, from their families and FORCED into the sex trade industry???? ANY? It's a billions of dollars a year industry. You can bet the people who do this also think just like you do....so what, who cares, right? Are we supposed to be just as accepting of this too? Should we look upon these people as business men (and in some cases even women) and not judge? IF we as a society don't judge then anything is game isn't it? Where is the fine line here? What this guy is calling a relationship and dating to him and telling US that it should be acceptable is only HIS opinion....just because I don't agree with it and why doesn't make me a ME ME ME person...Look at Tiger and Erin...or Kendra and Hank and tell me how that's working out for THEM?? If you go into a relationship with sub par morals and shallow views then don't be surprised your life ends up in the toilet....and now there are kids involved in those messes....they deserved BETTER. And if that makes me judgmental...then you know what...SO BE IT.

I'm a single mom of two kids...never married...it was once a stigma to have kids out of wedlock. Should that have changed? Were we too judgmental? When it stopped now look at the family values today....millions of broken homes and fatherless kids. BUT, I chose to have my kids on my own. I take care of them on my own too. Why should I be punished because a man is too busy looking for Barbie? My oldest son is now in his second year at a university as a physics major. People make choices everyday for themselves. If a woman doesn't want to date or marry any man unless he makes more than a mil a year....whatever...that's on THEM, not me. If that fat balding man wants a young firm model Barbie, again that's not on me...but don't write an article telling me it should be acceptable as a society...if you can

[see more](#)

^ | v • [Share](#)



Tru • 8 hours ago

Useless article when the world has so many real issues to resolve.

^ | v • [Share](#)



BluesBerry • 8 hours ago

This boy was in trouble from the start, confusing love and sex:

"In college, I'd watch my peers fall in and out of bed with one another, biding my time until it was my turn to be loved."

But he does make one clear statement that shows Americans ought to think twice about the Fairytales (like Cinderella and Snow White) to which they expose their children:

"Little girls grow up believing they will find Mr. Right who is wealthy, successful and handsome."

And then there was his mother, who appears to have had her head on straight about love (NOT):

"But my mother had instilled an important principle in me at a very young age. She told me that 'one day, when you are wealthy and successful, your generosity will turn things around.'"

^ | v • [Share](#)



Altair • 8 hours ago

This guy sounds like a sociopath. I don't understand why CNN would give him a platform to express his very warped views. I hope anyone who used this guy's website will delete their accounts.

^ | v • [Share](#)



Derek → **Altair** • 8 hours ago

In what way is he a sociopath?

1 ^ | v • [Share](#)



Joshua_T → **Altair** • 8 hours ago

Because it's an interesting story perhaps? Because it generates interest and conversation? Zero chance that anyone is going to delete their accounts due to anything the guy says.

1 ^ | v • [Share](#)



disqus_wvMLVA1hDr • 8 hours ago

So sad. This man sounds like he has Aspergers, but perhaps it's just that any shred of personality he may have ever had was beaten out of him in childhood. Yes, people marry for many reasons, including financial security. And prostitution is the world's oldest profession. That doesn't change the fact that he's made his money as an internet pimp for wealthy men who couldn't get a date. Pathetic.

^ | v • [Share](#)



Gretchen • 8 hours ago

If a pretty women is with a ugly guy... he's rich.... if a pretty women is with a good looking guy.. he's probably poor

^ | v • [Share](#)



John • 8 hours ago

Typical CNN garbage from a typical CNN writer.

^ | v • [Share](#)



Joshua_T → **John** • 8 hours ago

Thank you John for making your way to this article, and to the comments section no less to share this enlightening opinion which serves to add so much to the conversation.

3 ^ | v • [Share](#)



ISB67 • 8 hours ago

if money talks I haven't spoken a word in years....

^ | v • [Share](#)



gio6666 • 9 hours ago



The old adage still stands true - what makes a man sexy is the size of his paycheck

^ | v • Share ›



Czarchasm • 9 hours ago

CNNharmony is the only dating site for me.

^ | v • Share ›



Tomi Roshi • 9 hours ago

Love is inversely proportional to a person's weight. Pack on the pounds and there goes the love.

^ | v • Share ›



God and the Bear • 9 hours ago

Its funny the whole video he's trying to get sympathy for himself and show he's doing a good thing but the truth comes out in the end that all he's doing is providing men with mistresses probably hurting these men's families. I feel worst for their children. But then that's also these men's faults too. Why would you think you'd find love among women that are there to manipulate you purposefully?

^ | v • Share ›



Lstinmiddle → **God and the Bear** • 7 hours ago

That's kind of the point though, they're NOT looking for love.

^ | v • Share ›



God and the Bear → **Lstinmiddle** • 7 hours ago

So prostitution is legal as long as youre rich is what you're saying?

^ | v • Share ›



This comment is awaiting moderation. [Show comment](#).



God and the Bear → **JohnnyMangoes** • 9 hours ago

Even the fattest man and woman in the country have found love so why cant the rich?

^ | v • Share ›



Edgar Holland → **God and the Bear** • 9 hours ago

Because Obama has declared class warfare so now everyone hates the rich

1 ^ | v • Share ›



God and the Bear → **Edgar Holland** • 9 hours ago

You think this is new? Are you naive or something?

^ | v • Share ›



otterhead • 9 hours ago

Keep in mind that the "dating" sites that Mr Wade runs are for women seeking sugar daddies. Check them out. His entire career revolves around profiting from gold digging women. Of course he doesn't believe love exists -- he thinks that money and sex are the only important things in the world.

^ | v • Share ›



Tomi Roshi → **otterhead** • 9 hours ago

Finally, a man profiting from gold digging women. What a concept.

^ | v • Share ›



RichieBelding2 → **otterhead** • 9 hours ago

Stop being such a meathead.

^ | v • Share ›



otterhead → **RichieBelding2** • 9 hours ago

Oh, Richie! High school's over! Put the football down!

^ | v • Share ›



RichieBelding2 → **otterhead** • 9 hours ago

Never!

1 ^ | v • Share ›



otterhead • 9 hours ago

I hope that this article destroys your website. I'm sorry for you.

 ^ | v • Share ›**Bill** • 9 hours ago

With all the money in the world if at your core you really are a jerk, this won't work except for short term companionship.

^ | v • Share ›

**elenitatgirl** • 15 hours ago

well unfortunately yes, for the new age love does not exist, that was something from my grand parents time, but not any more and its getting more shallow, selfish, self adoring! its quite shocking!. I feel bad for the new generation

^ | v • Share ›

**God and the Bear** → [elenitatgirl](#) • 9 hours ago

Its more like were retreating to olden days. Marriages and love situations used to be arranged by parents and guardians and were meant as ways to strengthen an alliance, not for love. Wake up, its been just 200 years of this marrying for love that we have now on record and yet people like you think its the norm. Worse we have people that think marriage is a christian thing yet all kinds of religions marry people in the name of their god or not.

^ | v • Share ›

 Subscribe Add Disqus to your site